arXiv:0903.3302v2 [math.GR] 14 Jul 2009

Transitive projective planes and insoluble groups

Nick Gill*

July 14, 2009

Abstract

Suppose that a group G acts transitively on the points of $\mathcal{P}$, a finite non-Desarguesian projective plane. We prove first that the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are cyclic or generalized quaternion; we then prove that if G is insoluble then $G/O(G)$ is isomorphic to $SL_2(5)$ or $SL_2(5).2$.

MSC(2000): 20B25, 51A35.

1 Introduction

In 1959 Ostrom and Wagner proved that if a finite projective plane, $\mathcal{P}$, admits an automorphism group which acts 2-transitively on the set of points of $\mathcal{P}$ then $\mathcal{P}$ is Desarguesian [OW59]. Ever since this result appeared it has been conjectured that the same conclusion holds if the phrase *2-transitively* is replaced by *transitively*.

A number of results have appeared which partially prove this conjecture under certain extra conditions. Most notably in 1987 Kantor proved that if $\mathcal{P}$ has order x and $\mathcal{P}$ admits a group G which acts *primitively* on the set of points of $\mathcal{P}$ then either $\mathcal{P}$ is Desarguesian and $G \geq PSL(3,x)$, or else x^2+x+1 is a prime and G is a regular or Frobenius group of order dividing $(x^2+x+1)(x+1)$ or $(x^2+x+1)x$ [Kan87].

In this paper we present two results which depend only on the supposition that a group acts transitively on the set of points of a non-Desarguesian plane. These results build on those given in [Gil07] and constitute the closest approach to a proof of the conjecture so far.

---

[*] I would like to thank Professor Cheryl Praeger for her excellent advice. In particular any virtues in the exposition of this paper are largely due to her.

In order to state the two results we make some definitions pertaining to a group G. Define $m_2(G)$ to be the 2-rank of G, i.e. the rank of the largest elementary-abelian 2-group that lies in G. We define $O(G)$ to be the largest odd-order normal subgroup in G.

Theorem A. *Suppose that a group G acts transitively on the set of points of $\mathcal{P}$, a finite non-Desarguesian projective plane. Then $m_2(G) \leq 1$.*

Note that $m_2(G) = 1$ means (precisely) that the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are cyclic or generalized quaternion.

Theorem B. *Suppose that a group G acts transitively on the set of points of $\mathcal{P}$, a finite non-Desarguesian projective plane. If G is insoluble then $G/O(G)$ contains a subgroup H of index at most 2 such that H is isomorphic to $SL(2,5)$.*

In fact we will prove something a little stronger than Theorem B; see the final section of the paper for a more complete explanation.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we reduce the proof of Theorem A to a question about subgroups of general linear groups. In particular, at the end of this section we state Lemma A and demonstrate that this lemma implies Theorem A. In Section 3 we give a proof of Lemma A, thereby also proving Theorem A; in the process of proving Lemma A we undertake a detailed examination of subgroups of $GL_n(q)$ which may be of interest in its own right. Finally in Section 4 we analyse the situation where G is insoluble, and we prove Theorem B.

Note that the methods used in different sections vary considerably and hence so does our notation. We explain our notation at the start of each section or subsection.

2 A framework to prove Theorem A

Our aim in this section is to set up a framework to prove Theorem A. In order to do this we will split into two subsections. The first subsection outlines some basic group theory results which will be needed in the remainder of the paper. In the second subsection we will apply these results to the projective plane situation; in particular we will state Lemma A, and will demonstrate that Lemma A implies Theorem A.

2.1 Some background group theory

Throughout this section we use standard group theory notation. Note that, for an element $g \in G$, we write g^G for the set of G-conjugates of g in G. A cyclic group of order n will sometimes just be written n. We write $G = N.H$ for an extension of N by H; in other words G contains a normal subgroup N such that $G/N \cong H$. We write $G = N : H$ if the extension is split. An element g is an *involution* if $g^2 = 1$ and $g \neq 1$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let H be a group containing an involution g and let $N \lhd H$. If $|N|$ is odd then*

$$|H : C_H(g)| = |N : C_N(g)| \times |H/N : C_{H/N}(gN)|.$$

Proof. Take $C \leq H$ such that $C/N = C_{H/N}(gN)$. Then $C \geq C_H(g)$. Let $N^* = \langle g, N\rangle \cong N.2$ and take $c \in C$. Then $g^c \in N^*$.

Since $|N|$ is odd this implies that $g^{cn} = g$ for some $n \in N$ by Sylow's theorem. Thus $C = N.C_H(g)$. Then

$$|H : C| = |H : N.C_H(g)| = \frac{|H : C_H(g)|}{|N : N \cap C_H(g)|} = \frac{|H : C_H(g)|}{|N : C_N(g)|}.$$

Since $|H : C| = |H/N : C_{H/N}(gN)|$ we are done. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be a group and let $N \lhd H$. Suppose that g is an involution contained in N. Let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of N. Then*

$$\frac{|g^H|}{|g^N|} = \frac{|g^H \cap P|}{|g^N \cap P|}.$$

Proof. Observe that, by the Frattini argument, the set of N-conjugates of P is the same as the set of H-conjugates of P; say there are c of these. Let d be the number of such N-conjugates of P which contain the element g. Now count the size of the following set in two different ways:

$$|\{(x, Q) : x \in g^H, Q \in P^H, x \in Q\}| = |g^H|d = c|g^H \cap P|.$$

Similarly we count the size of the following set in two different ways:

$$|\{(x, Q) : x \in g^N, Q \in P^N, x \in Q\}| = |g^N|d = c|g^N \cap P|.$$

Our result follows. $\square$

Combining these two results we have the following:

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a subgroup of $H_1 \times \cdots \times H_r$ containing an involution g. For $i = 1, \ldots, r$, let L_i be the projection of H to $H_i \times H_{i+1} \times \cdots \times H_r$ (so $H = L_1$). For $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$ let $\psi_i : L_i \to L_{i+1}$ be the canonical projection* *and let T_i be the kernel of ψ_i. Define T_r to be equal to L_r and let g_i be the image of g under the projection into L_i.*

Suppose that T_i has odd order for $i < k \leq r$ and T_k has even order; if $k < r$ then suppose that $g_{k+1} = 1$. Let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of T_k. Then

$$|H : C_H(g)| = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} |T_i : C_{T_i}(g_i)|\right) \frac{|(g_k)^{L_k} \cap P|}{|(g_k)^{T_k} \cap P|}.$$

Proof. If T_1 has odd order then Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}|H : C_H(g)| &= |T_1 : C_{T_1}(g)| \times |H/T_1 : C_{H/T_1}(T_1 g)| \\ &= |T_1 : C_{T_1}(g)| \times |\psi_1(H) : C_{\psi_1(H)}(\psi_1(g))| \\ &= |T_1 : C_{T_1}(g_1)| \times |L_2 : C_{L_2}(g_2)|.\end{aligned}$$

Now L_2 is a subgroup of $H_2 \times \cdots \times H_r$ and so we can iterate the procedure. This implies that

$$|H : C_H(g)| = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} |T_i : C_{T_i}(g_i)|\right) |L_k : C_{L_k}(g_k)|.$$

If $k = r$ then we are done. If $k < r$ then we must calculate the centralizer of g_k in $L_k \leq H_k \times \cdots \times H_r$. Then we apply Lemma 2.2 using T_k for our normal subgroup N. Then

$$|L_k : C_{L_k}(g_k)| = |T_k : C_{T_k}(g_k)| \times \frac{|(g_k)^{L_k} \cap P|}{|(g_k)^{T_k} \cap P|}.$$

□

We conclude this subsection with some results concerning Sylow subgroups.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a group and let $H \lhd G$ with $|H|$ odd. Suppose that g is an involution in P, a 2-subgroup of G. Define $\phi : G \to G/H$ to be the natural projection map. Then*

$$|g^G \cap P| = |(gH)^{G/H} \cap \phi(P)|$$

Proof. Clearly ϕ maps $g^G \cap P$ into $(gH)^{G/H} \cap \phi(P)$. Suppose that $\phi(g_1) = \phi(g_2)$ for $g_1, g_2 \in g^G \cap P$. Then $g_1 H = g_2 H$ and so $g_1^{-1} g_2 \in H$, which has odd order. Since $g_1^{-1} g_2$ also lies in P, which has even order, we conclude that $g_1 = g_2$. Thus $\phi|_{g^G \cap P}$ is 1-1.

Furthermore if $(gH)^(g_1 H) = g^{g_1} H \in \phi(P)$ then $g^{g_1} H = pH$ for some $p \in P$. Then, since Sylow 2-subgroups are conjugate in $\langle g_1^g, H\rangle = \langle p, H\rangle$ we conclude that $p = (g^{g_1})^h$ for some $h \in H$. Thus $p \in g^G \cap P$ and $\phi(p) = g^{g_1} H$; in other words ϕ is onto and the result follows. □

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that* $g \in GL_n(q)$ *satisfies* $g^2 = 1$*; then call* g *an iinvolution (so either* $g = 1$ *or* g *is an involution). Define* C_n *to be the maximum number of conjugacy classes of iinvolutions in a subgroup of* $GL_n(q)$*. Then*

$$C_n \leq 2^{n+\frac{n}{2}-1}.$$

Proof. Let H be a subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ and take P, a Sylow 2-subgroup of H. Observe that P contains a representative of every conjugacy class of iinvolutions in H. Thus, to prove the result, we can assume that $H = P$, i.e. H is a 2-group. Let P_n be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ and assume that $H \leq P_n$.

Observe first that $P_{2k+1} = 2 \times P_{2k}$ hence we obtain immediately that $C_{2k+1} \leq 2C_{2k}$ and it is sufficient to prove the result for n even; assume this from here on.

Suppose next that $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. Then a Sylow 2-subgroup of $GL_2(q)$ is a subgroup of $GL_2(q^2)$ and $q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Hence it is sufficient to assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. In this case H is a subgroup of $(q-1)^n : S_n$; we take H to be a subgroup of $\langle D, M \rangle$ where D is the group of invertible diagonal matrices, and M is the set of permutation matrices.

Write D_0 for $D \cap H$ and observe first that there are at most 2^n iinvolutions in D_0. Now consider a coset of D_0 in H, gD_0, where g is an involution in $H \backslash D_0$. Choose a basis so that g corresponds to permutation $(1\,2)(3\,4)\cdots$ as follows:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} & g_1 & & & \\ g_1^{-1} & & & & \\ & & & g_3 & \\ & & g_3^{-1} & & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose d to be a diagonal matrix:

$$d = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & \\ & d_2 & \\ & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now D_0 acts by conjugation on the coset gD_0 and observe that

- $dgd^{-1} = g$ if and only if $d_i = d_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 3, \ldots, n-1$;
- $(dg)^2 = 1$ if and only if $d_i d_{i+1} = 1$ for $i = 1, 3, \ldots, n-1$.

Let $D_1 = \{d \in D_0 : (dg)^2 = 1\}$, a subgroup of D_0. Observe that $|C_{D_1}(g)| \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$. What is more, since D_0 is abelian, $C_{D_1}(g) = C_{D_1}(gd)$ for any $d \in D_0$, thus every orbit of D_1 on the coset gD_0 must have size at least $\frac{|D_1|}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}}$. In other words the number of D_1-conjugacy classes of iinvolutions represented in the coset gD_0 is at most $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

It is therefore clear that the number of conjugacy classes of iinvolutions in H is bounded above by $2^{\frac{n}{2}} \times C'_n$ where C'_n is the maximum number of conjugacy classes of iinvolutions in a subgroup of S_n. So let K be a subgroup of S_n. As before, we assume that K is a 2-group, and write Q_n for a Sylow 2-subgroup of S_n.

Consider first the 2-adic expansion of n, i.e. write $n = n_1, \dots, n_k$ where $n_i = 2^a_i, i = 1 \dots, k$ for some non-negative integer a_i, and all the a_i are distinct. Observe that $Q_n \cong Q_{n_1} \times \cdots \times Q_{n_k}$; hence $C'_n \leq C'_{n_1} \times \cdots \times C'_{n_k}$. Now it is immediate that $|Q_{2^k}| = 2^{2^k - 1}$, for k any natural number. Thus, in all cases, $|Q_n| \leq 2^{n-1}$ and, in particular, $C'_n \leq 2^{n-1}$; the result follows.

$\square$

Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5 imply that if $g \in N \lhd H < GL_n(q)$ with $g^2 = 1$, then

$$\frac{|g^H \cap P|}{|g^N \cap P|} < 2^{n + \frac{n}{2} - 1}$$

for P a Sylow 2-subgroup of N.

2.2 The projective plane situation

This subsection is the last, until Section 4, in which we will directly consider projective planes. Hence all the notation in this subsection is self-contained and we will develop this notation as we go along.

We begin by stating a hypothesis which will hold throughout this subsection. The conditions included represent, by [Wag59] and [Dem97, 4.1.7], the conditions under which a group may act transitively on the points of a non-Desarguesian projective plane.

Hypothesis 1. *Suppose that a group G acts transitively upon the points of a non-Desarguesian projective plane $\mathcal{P}$ of order $x > 4$. If G contains any involutions then they fix a Baer subplane; in particular they fix $u^2 + u + 1$ points where $x = u^2, u > 2$. Furthermore in this case any non-trivial element of G fixing at least u^2 points fixes either $u^2 + u + 1, u^2 + 1$ or $u^2 + 2$ points of $\mathcal{P}$.*

We collect some significant facts that follow from Hypothesis 1:

Lemma 2.6. *The Fitting group and the generalized Fitting group of G coincide, i.e. $F^*(G) = F(G)$. What is more, $F(G)$ acts semi-regularly on the* *points of* $\mathcal{P}$. *Further, if* p *is a prime dividing into* u^2+u+1, *then* $p \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ *or* $p=3$ *and* 9 *does not divide into* u^2+u+1.

Proof. The results about the Fitting group of G can be found in [Gil07, Theorem A] and [Gil06, Theorem A]. The result about prime divisors can be found in [Kan87, p.33]. $\square$

Note that $u^2-u+1=(u-1)^2+(u-1)+1$ hence Lemma 2.6 implies that if p is a prime dividing into u^2-u+1, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ or $p=3$ and 9 does not divide into u^2-u+1.

Write α for a point of $\mathcal{P}$. For integers k and w, write k_w (resp. $k_{w'}$) for the largest divisor of k which is a power of w (resp. coprime to w). We write $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$ for the set of fixed points of g; similarly $\mathrm{Fix}(H)$ is the set of fixed points of a subgroup $H<G$. We begin with the following observation:

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that G contains an involution. Then one of the following holds:*

1. $m_2(G)=1$;
2. *All primes which divide* $|F(G)|$ *must also divide* u^2+u+1.

Proof. Suppose that $m_2(G)>1$. Let N be a Sylow r-subgroup of $F(G)$, for some prime r, and observe that any subgroup of G acts by conjugation on N. Then [Asc00, (40.6)] implies that a Sylow 2-subgroup of G does not act semi-regularly on N. Hence G contains an involution g for which $C_N(g)$ is non-trivial. Now $C_N(g)$ acts on $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$, a set of size u^2+u+1. Because $F(G)$ acts semi-regularly on the points of $\mathcal{P}$ we conclude that r divides u^2+u+1. $\square$

We will be interested in the second of these possibilities. So for the rest of this subsection we add the following to our hypothesis:

Hypothesis 2. *Suppose that G contains an involution and that all primes dividing* $|F(G)|$ *also divide* u^2+u+1.

Clearly if we can show that Hypotheses 1 and 2 lead to a contradiction then Lemma 2.7 will imply Theorem A. Over the rest of this subsection we will work towards showing that, provided Lemma A is true, such a contradiction does indeed follow from these hypotheses. (We will state Lemma A shortly.)

Write $u^2+u+1=p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_r^{a_r}$ and observe that, by Lemma 2.6, $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ or else $p_i^{a_i}=3$. Let $F(G)=N_1\times N_2\times\cdots\times N_r$ where $N_i \in Syl_{p_i}F(G)$ and set $Z=Z(F(G))$. Then G/Z is a subgroup of

$$AutN_1\times\cdots\times AutN_r.$$

Write $V_i = N_i/\Phi(N_i)$. Here $\Phi(N_i)$ is the Frattini subgroup of N_i, hence V_i is a vector space over the field of size p_i. Observe that $|V_i| \leq p_i^{a_i}$.

A classical result of Burnside (see, for instance, [Gor68, Theorem 1.4]) tells us that $AutN_i$ acts on V_i with kernel, K_i, a p_i-group. Thus G/Z is a subgroup of

$$K_1.GL(V_1) \times \cdots \times K_r.GL(V_r).$$

Let $K^\dagger = (K_1 \times \cdots \times K_r) \cap G/Z$ and take K to be the pre-image of $K^\dagger$ in G. Thus G/K is a subgroup of

$$GL(V_1) \times \cdots \times GL(V_r).$$

Lemma 2.8. *[Gil07, Lemma 13] Let* $x = u^2$ *and let* g *be an involution in* G*. Then*

$$\frac{|g^G|}{|g^G \cap G_\alpha|} = u^2 - u + 1.$$

Lemma 2.9. *Let* g *be an involution in* G*. Then* $u^2 - u + 1$ *divides*

$$|G/K : C_{G/K}(gK)|.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, $u^2 - u + 1$ divides $|G : C_G(g)|$. Then, by Lemma 2.1,

$$|G : C_G(g)| = |K : C_K(g)| \times |G/K : C_{G/K}(gK)|.$$

Now all primes dividing $|K|$ also divide $u^2 + u + 1$. But $u^2 + u + 1$ is coprime to $u^2 - u + 1$ so we have our result. ☐

We wish to apply Lemma 2.3 to the group G/K which is a subgroup of $GL(V_1) \times \cdots \times GL(V_r)$. In applying Lemma 2.3 we take H to be G/K and H_i to be $GL(V_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Now L_i will be the projection of G/K onto $GL(V_i) \times \cdots \times GL(V_r)$ and T_i will be the kernel of the projection $L_i \to L_{i+1}$ with T_r equal to L_r.

We can order the V_i so that $|T_i|$ is odd for $i < k$ and $|T_i|$ is even for $i \geq k$ where k is some integer. We adopt such an ordering; furthermore we choose such an ordering for which k is as large as possible. If $k < r$ then we also wish to guarantee that

$$p_k^{a_k - 1} + \cdots + p_k + 1 < p_{k+1}^{a_{k+1} - 1} + \cdots + p_{k+1} + 1.$$

If this is not the case then we simply swap V_k and V_{k+1} in our ordering. Thus we assume that the inequality holds.

Now we are dealing with an involution g in G but we will apply Lemma 2.3 not to g but gK in G/K. Then g_i will be the projection of gK onto $GL(V_i) \times \cdots \times GL(V_r)$. Finally recall that $u^2 + u + 1 = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ where the p_i are prime numbers; furthermore $|V_i| = p_i^{b_i}$ for some $b_i \leq a_i$.

Lemma 2.10. *There exists $j \leq k$ such that*

$$(|T_j : C_{T_j}(g_j)|, u^2 - u + 1) > p_j^{a_j - 1} + \cdots + p_j + 1.$$

Proof. We suppose that the proposition does not hold and seek a contradiction. In other words we suppose that, for all $i \leq k$,

$$(|T_i : C_{T_i}(g_i)|, u^2 - u + 1) \leq p_i^{a_i - 1} + \cdots + p_i + 1$$

Lemmas 2.3 and 2.9 imply that $u^2 - u + 1$ divides

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} |T_i : C_{T_i}(g_i)|\right) \frac{|(g_k)^{L_k} \cap P|}{|(g_k)^{T_k} \cap P|}$$

where P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of T_k.

If $k = r$ then $T_r = L_r$ and so $u^2 - u + 1$ divides $\prod_{i=1}^{r} |T_i : C_{T_i}(g_i)|$. By our supposition this implies that

$$u^2 - u + 1 \leq \prod_{i=1}^{r} (p_i^{a_i - 1} + \cdots + p_i + 1) < \frac{u^2 + u + 1}{2^r}.$$

This is clearly a contradiction.

Now suppose that $k < r$. Since P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of T_k which is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL(V_k)$, Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\left(u^2 - u + 1, \frac{|(g_k)^{L_k} \cap P|}{|(g_k)^{T_k} \cap P|}\right) \leq 2^{a_k + \frac{1}{2}a_k - 1}.$$

Since $2^{a_k + \frac{1}{2}a_k - 1} < p_k^{a_k - 1} + \cdots + p_k + 1$, our supposition implies that

$$u^2 - u + 1 \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} (p_i^{a_i - 1} + \cdots + p_i + 1)\right) (p_k^{a_k - 1} + \cdots + p_k + 1).$$

Now recall that we have chosen an ordering such that $p_k^{a_k - 1} + \cdots + p_k + 1 < p_{k+1}^{a_{k+1} - 1} + \cdots + p_{k+1} + 1$. This implies that

$$u^2 - u + 1 \leq \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} (p_i^{a_i - 1} + \cdots + p_i + 1) < \frac{u^2 + u + 1}{2^{k+1}}$$

and, once again, we have a contradiction. $\square$

We are now in a position to state Lemma A. Note that Lemma A is not contingent on our hypotheses; it is a purely group-theoretic result. First of all we make a definition:

Definition 2.11. *For an integer k we write $k_\heartsuit$ to mean*

$$(k,3)\times k_7\times k_{13}\times k_{19}\times k_{31}\times\dots$$

(We must consider all primes equivalent to 1 modulo 3 here.)

Lemma A. *Let $H<GL_n(q)$ and suppose that H has even order. Suppose that $q=p^a$ with $p\geq 7$ and $p\equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Then there exists an involution $g\in H$ such that*

$$|H:C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}\leq q^{n-1}+\dots+q+1.$$

Note that, for an integer k, by $k_{p',\heartsuit}$ we mean the largest integer dividing $k_\heartsuit$ which is coprime to p. Recall that $u^2+u+1=p_1^{a_1}\dots p_r^{a_r}$ where, by Lemma 2.6, either $p_i\geq 7$ and $p_i\equiv 1 \pmod 3$ or $p_i^{a_i}=3$; in other words $u^2+u+1=(u^2+u+1)_\heartsuit$.

Proposition 2.12. *Hypothesis 1 and Lemma A imply that Hypothesis 2 is false.*

Proof. Suppose Hypothesis 1 and 2 are true. We show that Lemma A leads to a contradiction. Consider G/K as a subgroup of $GL(V_1)\times\dots\times GL(V_r)$. As before let L_i be the projection of G/K onto $GL(V_i)\times\dots\times GL(V_r)$. Let T_i be the kernel of the projection $L_i\to L_{i+1}$ for $i=1,\dots,r-1$ and let $T_r=L_r$. Order the V_i as in Lemma 2.10 and recall that $|V_i|=p_i^{b_i}$ where $b_i\leq a_i$..

We first apply Lemma A to T_k which can be thought of as a subgroup of $GL(V_k)$. Thus let g_k be an involution in T_k such that $|T_k:C_{T_k}(g_k)|_{p'_k,\heartsuit}$ is minimised. Lemma A implies that

$$|T_k:C_{T_k}(g_k)|_{p'_k,\heartsuit}\leq p_k^{b_k-1}+\dots+p_k+1\leq p_k^{a_k-1}+\dots+p_k+1.$$

Now, by Lemma 2.6 (and the comment immediately after), this implies that

$$(|T_k:C_{T_k}(g_k)|,u^2-u+1)\leq|T_k:C_{T_k}(g_k)|_{p'_k,\heartsuit}\leq p_k^{a_k-1}+\dots+p_k+1.$$

Let gK be an involutory pre-image of g_k in G/K (such a pre-image must exist since $|T_i|$ is odd for $i<k$); as before take g_i to be the projection of gK onto $GL(V_i)\times\dots\times GL(V_r)$. Observe that, in all cases $\langle T_j,g_j\rangle$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL(V_j)$.

Now, when $j<k$, T_j has odd order. Then $H_j=\langle T_j,g_j\rangle$ has a unique H_j-conjugacy class of involutions. Thus Lemma A implies that, for all $j<k$,

$$(|T_j:C_{T_j}(g_j)|,u^2-u+1)\leq p_j^{a_j-1}+\dots+p_j+1.$$

This yields a contradiction to Lemma 2.10.

Thus we have demonstrated that, provided Lemma A is true, our Hypotheses 1 and 2 lead to a contradiction. ☐

Corollary 2.13. *Lemma A implies Theorem A.*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 2.7 and Proposition 2.12. $\square$

3 Proving Lemma A

Throughout this section we occupy ourselves with a proof of Lemma A. Lemma A is a purely group theoretic result; we will not refer to projective planes in this section. We use standard group theory notation, as described at the start of Subsection 2.1. Note that Lemma A was stated immediately prior to Proposition 2.12, as was the definition of $k_\heartsuit$, where k is an integer.

Throughout this section $q = p^a$ with $p \geq 7$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ and H is a subgroup of $GL_n(q)$. Suppose that H lies in a maximal subgroup M of $GL_n(q)$. In order to prove Lemma A we will go through the possibilities for M and H and demonstrate that, in all cases, Lemma A holds.

3.1 The maximal subgroups of $GL_n(q)$

Before we embark on a proof of Lemma A we need to outline a classification of the maximal subgroups of $G = GL_n(q)$. Such a classification was outlined in [Asc84]; we follow the treatment of this result given in [KL90]. We take V to be an n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let κ be a sesquilinear (resp. quadratic) form defined over V. We will assume that κ is either non-degenerate, or else is equal to the zero form. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \{g \in \Gamma L(V) \mid \kappa(vg) = \lambda(g)\kappa(v)^{\alpha(g)} \text{ for all } v \in V\};\\
\Delta &= \{g \in GL(V) \mid \kappa(vg) = \lambda(g)\kappa(v) \text{ for all } v \in V\};\\
S &= \{g \in SL(V) \mid \kappa(vg) = \kappa(v) \text{ for all } v \in V\};
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda(g) \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\alpha(g) \in Aut(\mathbb{F}_q)$. In other words Γ (resp. Δ, S) is the set of *semisimilarities* (resp. *similarities*, *special isometries*) of κ. We record the groups we get for various choices of κ [KL90, Tables 2.1.B and 2.1.D]:

κ	Γ	Δ	S
Zero	$\Gamma L_n(q)$	$GL_n(q)$	$SL_n(q)$
Hermitian	$\Gamma U_n(q)$	$GU_n(q).(q-1)$	$SU_n(q)$
Alternating	$\Gamma Sp_n(q)$	$GSp_n(q)$	$Sp_n(q)$
Orthogonal	$\Gamma O_n^\epsilon(q)$	$GO_n^\epsilon(q)$	$SO_n^\epsilon(q)$

Here ϵ gives the type of symmetric form; it takes the value $\pm$ when n is even, and is blank when n is odd. Now the group Γ contains the scalars as a normal subgroup, which we denote Z. For $H \leq \Gamma$ we write $\overline{H}$ for reduction modulo scalars: $\overline{H} = H/(H \cap Z)$.

Lemma 3.1. *[KL90, Prop. 2.9.2]* $\overline{S}$ *is simple except in the following cases:*

1. $n = 1$ *or* $(n, q) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3)\}$*, or* $S = SU(3, 2)$*,* $Sp(4, 2)$*,* $SO_2^{\pm}(q)$*,* $SO_3(3)$*, or* $SO_4^{+}(q)$*.*
2. κ *is symmetric, and (1) does not hold. In this case* $\overline{S}$ *contains a simple subgroup of index at most* 2*.*

We will call situation (1) the *small rank situe*. Thus the small rank situe is described by particular values of (n, q), and particular types of κ (strictly speaking, since $p \geq 7$, most of the listed cases do not occur). When we are not in the small rank situe, we write Ω (or Ω_κ) to be the subgroup of S of index at most 2 such that $\overline{\Omega}$ is simple. For particular choices of κ, n and q we are interested in the maximal subgroups of X, a group satisfying $\Omega \leq X \leq \Gamma$; most of the time we will apply the following results with κ the zero form and $X = \Delta_\kappa \cong GL_n(q)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose we are not in the small rank situe for* (κ, n, q)*. Let* X *be a group satisfying* $\Omega \leq X \leq \Gamma$*. If* M *is a maximal subgroup of* X *then either* M *contains* Ω *or* $\overline{M}$ *is a maximal subgroup of* $\overline{X}$*. Conversely, if* M_1 *is a maximal subgroup of* $\overline{X}$ *then* $M_1 = \overline{M}$ *for some maximal subgroup* M *in* X*.*

Proof. The converse statement is immediate: take M to be the full pre-image of M_1 in X. Then M must be a proper subgroup of X and is clearly maximal.

Now suppose that M is maximal in X. Then $\overline{M}$ must be a maximal subgroup of $\overline{X}$ or else $\overline{M} \cong \overline{X}$. Suppose the latter case holds. Then M contains a perfect subgroup M_0, which has index at most $|X : \Omega|$ in X. Since M_0 is perfect and Ω is perfect we conclude that M_0 contains Ω or else $M_0 \cap \Omega$ is trivial. But $|M_0| \geq \overline{\Omega} > |\Gamma : \Omega|$ (see [KL90, Tables 2.1.C and 2.1.D]) and so we conclude that M_0 contains Ω. □

The maximal subgroups of $\overline{X}$ are described in [KL90, Theorem 1.2.1]. In order to describe them we start with a family $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ of subgroups of Γ (we will describe these in due course). We define

$$\mathcal{C}(X) = \{X \cap H \mid H \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)\};$$
$$\mathcal{C}(\overline{X}) = \{\overline{H} \mid H \in \mathcal{C}(X)\}.$$

Now we have the following result, originally due to Aschbacher [Asc84]:

Theorem 3.3. *[KL90, Theorem 1.2.1] Suppose we are not in the small rank situe for* (κ, n, q)*. Let* X *be a group satisfying* $\Omega \leq X \leq \Gamma$*. If* H *is a* *subgroup of* $\overline{X}$ *then either* H *is contained in a member of* $\mathcal{C}(\overline{X})$*, or else* H *is almost simple with socle* S *such that if* L *is the full covering group of* S*, and if* $\rho : L \to GL(V)$ *is a representation of* L *such that* $\overline{\rho(L)} = S$*, then* ρ *is absolutely irreducible.*

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose we are not in the small rank situe for* (κ, n, q)*. Let* X *be a group satisfying* $\Omega \leq X \leq \Gamma$*. If* H *is a subgroup of* X *then one of the following holds:*

1. *H contains* Ω*;*
2. H *is contained in* $M_1 Z$ *where* $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}(X)$*;*
3. $H_1 \leq H \leq H_1 Z$ *where* H_1 *is an almost quasi-simple group such that* $F^*(H_1) = S_1$ *is absolutely irreducible on* V*.*

Proof. Suppose that H does not contain Ω. Then Lemma 3.2 implies that $\overline{H}$ is a proper subgroup of $\overline{X}$ and we apply Theorem 3.3. If $\overline{H}$ does not lie in a member of $\mathcal{C}(\overline{X})$ then $\overline{H}$ is almost simple, and the third possibility holds. Otherwise H lies inside a member $\overline{M}$ of $\mathcal{C}(\overline{X})$. Choose $\overline{M}$ to be maximal; Lemma 3.2 implies that H lies inside a maximal subgroup of X equal to the full pre-image of $\overline{M}$. Now $\overline{M} = \overline{M_1}$ for some $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Thus the full pre-image of $\overline{M}$ is equal to $M_1 Z$ as required. $\square$

Our job now is to describe $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$, and hence $\mathcal{C}(X)$. Each of these splits into eight subfamilies: $\mathcal{C}_i(\Gamma)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_i(X)$), for $i = 1, \dots, 8$. We now sketch a description of these; full descriptions (with the same terminology) can be found in [KL90]. We are particularly interested in the case where $X = \Delta$ hence we introduce the following notation: take $H_\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_i(\Gamma)$ and write $H = H_\Delta = H_\Gamma \cap \Delta$, for the corresponding member of $\mathcal{C}_i(\Delta)$.

$\mathcal{C}_1$: $H_\Gamma = N_\Gamma(W)$ where W is a subspace of V of dimension $m < n-1$ that is non-degenerate (resp. totally singular) if κ is non-degenerate (resp. zero). Then $H = N_\Delta(W)$, a parabolic subgroup of Δ.

$\mathcal{C}_2$: Write $n = mt$, for $t \geq 2$. H_Γ is the stabilizer in Γ of an *m-space decomposition.* If $\kappa = 0$ then this is a decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t$ where V_i is an m-dimensional subspace of V; if κ is non-degenerate, then one must impose extra conditions on the subspaces V_i. In any case H is the stabilizer in Δ of this m-space decomposition.

$\mathcal{C}_3$: Write $n = mr$ for r prime. $H_\Gamma = \Gamma_\mu$, a large subgroup of the group Γ corresponding to a form μ on V where V is viewed as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ (the precise description of this subgroup is given on [KL90, p.

111]). The form μ depends on κ, as described in [KL90, Table 4.3.A]; for instance if $\kappa = 0$ then $\mu = 0$. Then $H = \Gamma_\mu \cap \Delta$.

$\mathcal{C}_4$: Write $n = n_1 n_2$ with $n_1 \geq 2$, and consider $V_1 \otimes V_2$, where V_1 (resp. V_2) is a vector space of dimension n_1 (resp. n_2). This induces an imbedding

$$GL(V_1) \otimes GL(V_2) \cong GL_{n_1}(q) \circ GL_{n_2}(q) \leq GL(V) \cong GL_n(q).$$

We can define forms on V_1 and V_2, κ_1 and κ_2, that combine to give a form on $V_1 \times V_2$; if chosen carefully this combination of forms may be identified with the form κ. Thus we induce an imbedding $\Delta_{\kappa_1} \otimes \Delta_{\kappa_2} \leq \Delta_\kappa$. We define $H_\Gamma = (\Delta_{\kappa_1} \otimes \Delta_{\kappa_2})\langle \phi \rangle$, where ϕ is a field automorphism; then $H = \Delta_{\kappa_1} \otimes \Delta_{\kappa_2}$ [KL90, (4.4.13)].

$\mathcal{C}_5$: Let V_0 be an n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_0$, a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then V can be identified with $V_0 \otimes \mathbb{F}_q$, and a form, κ_0, on V_0 can be extended to a form κ on V. We then define $H_\Gamma = N_\Gamma(V_0)Z$; in particular $H = \Delta_0 Z$ [KL90, (4.5.5)].

$\mathcal{C}_6$: Write $n = r^m$, for r prime and not equal to p. Then $H_\Gamma = N_\Gamma(R)$ where R is a particular symplectic-type r-subgroup of Δ.

$\mathcal{C}_7$: Write $n = m^t$. The groups here are similar to those in $\mathcal{C}_4$; this time we identify V with a tensor product $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_t$ where $V_i, i = 1, \ldots, t$ is a vector space of dimension m. Then $H_\Gamma = (\Delta_{\kappa_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{\kappa_t})(\langle \phi \rangle \times J)$, where ϕ is a field automorphism, and $J \cong S_t$ permutes the V_i. We conclude that $H = (\Delta_{\kappa_1} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{\kappa_t})J$ [KL90, p.157].

$\mathcal{C}_8$: Here $H_\Gamma = \Gamma_\mu$ where μ is a non-degenerate form defined on V. Then $H = \Delta_\mu$. The form μ depends on κ, as described in [KL90, Table 4.8.A].

We record two significant corollaries.

Corollary 3.5. *Fix n and q and set κ to be the zero form. Let X be a group satisfying $\Delta \leq X \leq \Gamma$. If H is a subgroup of X then one of the following holds:*

1. *H contains Ω.*
2. *H is contained in $M_1 Z$ where $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_i(X)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, 7$.*
3. *H is contained in $M_1 Z$ where $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_6(X_\xi)$ for some non-degenerate form ξ acting on V.*

4. $H_1 \leq H \leq H_1 Z$ where H_1 is almost quasi-simple and $F^*(H_1) = S_1$ is absolutely irreducible on V.

5. H lies in X_ξ, for some non-degenerate form ξ acting on V and (ξ, n, q) lies in the small rank situe.

Note that, by X_ξ, we mean a group satisfying $\Delta_\xi \leq X_\xi \leq \Gamma_\xi$, for some non-degenerate form ξ acting on V. Note too that the requirement that κ be the zero form is somewhat superfluous; we retain this condition as it makes the corollary easier to state.

Proof. The result differs from Corollary 3.4 by specifying what happens when H is contained in $M_1 Z$ for $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_8(X)$. So let us examine this case; here $M_1 = X_\xi$ for some non-degenerate form ξ acting on V; in fact Δ_ξ contains Z so we conclude that $H \leq X_\xi$. We can now apply Corollary 3.4 again. If we lie in the small rank situe for (ξ, n, q) then (5) holds. Assume that we do not lie in the small rank situe. If H contains Ω_ξ then [KL90, Proposition 2.10.6] implies that (4) holds. If H contains an almost-simple group H_1 with $F^*(H_1) = S_1$ absolutely irreducible on V then, again, (4) holds.

Finally we have the possibility that H lies in $M \in \mathcal{C}(X_\xi)$. If $M \in \mathcal{C}_6(X_\xi)$, then (3) holds. If $M \in \mathcal{C}_i(X_\xi)$, for $i = 1, \dots, 5, 7$, then the descriptions that we have given above show that H must lie in $\mathcal{C}_i(X_\kappa)$ and (2) holds. (Observe that, if $M \in \mathcal{C}_i(X_\xi)$, for some $i = 1, \dots, 5, 7$, then M stabilizes some geometric configuration in V, as well as preserving ξ. Consider the group M_1, the full stabilizer of this geometric configuration in X_κ. Then $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_i(X_\kappa)$ as required.)

Finally, if ξ is alternating and q is even then it is possible that $M = X_\psi \in \mathcal{C}_8(X_\xi)$, where ψ is a non-degenerate quadratic form. In this case we repeat the above argument, and the result follows. ☐

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that M is a maximal subgroup of $\Delta = GL_n(q)$ lying in one of the families $\mathcal{C}_i(\Delta)$, $i = 1, \dots, 8$. Then M is isomorphic to one of the following groups (t, m, r are all integers; r is prime).*

$\mathcal{C}_1$: $[q^{m(m-n)}] : (GL_m(q) \times GL_{n-m}(q))$, *for* $1 \leq m < n$.

$\mathcal{C}_2$: $GL_m(q) \wr S_t$, *for* $n = mt$ *with* $t \geq 2$.

$\mathcal{C}_3$: $GL_m(q^r).r$, *for* $n = mr$, *and the extension is given by a field automorphism.*

$\mathcal{C}_4$: $GL_{n_1}(q) \circ GL_{n_2}(q)$, *for* $n = n_1 n_2$ *with* $2 \leq n_1 < \sqrt{n}$.

$\mathcal{C}_5$: $GL_m(q_0)Z$ *for* $q = q_0^r$ *and* $Z = Z(GL_n(q))$.

$\mathcal{C}_6$: *The normalizer of an absolutely irreducible symplectic-type r-group, for $n = r^m$ with $r \neq p$.*

$\mathcal{C}_7$: $\underbrace{(GL_m(q) \circ \cdots \circ GL_m(q))}_{t}.S_t$ *for* $n = m^t, m \geq 3$.

$\mathcal{C}_8$: Δ_ξ *for ξ a non-degenerate form on the associated vector space V.*

Proof. This is immediate from the descriptions of $\mathcal{C}_i(\Delta)$ given above. (For the most part descriptions are given in [KL90].) ☐

3.2 Preliminaries for a proof of Lemma A

We now have enough information about subgroups of $GL_n(q)$; we can now start to use this information to begin a proof of Lemma A. Let us remind ourselves of the statement:

Lemma A. *Let $H < GL_n(q)$ and suppose that H has even order. Suppose that $q = p^a$ with $p \geq 7$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Then there exists an involution $g \in H$ such that*

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Recall that the subscript $\heartsuit$ was defined in Definition 2.11. Let us begin with a proof of Lemma A for $n = 2$ (when $n = 1$, the statement is a trivial consequence of the fact that $GL_1(q)$ is abelian).

Lemma 3.7. *A maximal subgroup of $PSL_2(q)$, for q odd, is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

1. *$D_{q\pm1}$ (normalizers of tori);*
2. *$[q] : (\frac{q-1}{2})$ (the Borel);*
3. *S_4, A_4, or A_5;*
4. *$PSL_2(q_0)$, for $q = q_0^a$ where a is an odd prime;*
5. *$PGL_2(q_0)$, for $q = q_0^2$.*

Proof. This is well-known; see, for example, [Dic58]. ☐

Lemma 3.8. *Let $H < GL_2(q)$ and suppose that H has even order. Suppose that $q = p^a$ with $p \geq 7$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Then there exists an involution $g \in H$ such that*

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q + 1.$$

Proof. Observe that $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} = |H/(H \cap Z(GL_2(q)) \cap PSL_2(q)|_{p',\heartsuit}$; since $H/(H \cap Z(GL_2(q)) \cap PSL_2(q)$ is a subgroup of $PSL_2(q)$, it is therefore sufficient to prove that $|H_1|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q+1$ for all maximal subgroups H_1 of $PSL_2(q)$. The inequality now follows from Lemma 3.7. ☐

Our proof of Lemma A will consist of a case-by-case analysis of the possible subgroups H; we use Corollaries 3.5 and 3.6 to list the relevant groups.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that H is a subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ where $n > 2$, $q = p^a$ and $p \geq 7$, $p \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, and p is prime. If*

$$|H|_{p',\heartsuit} \geq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1,$$

then one of the following holds:

1. *H contains $SL_n(q)$.*
2. *H is contained in $M_1 Z$ where $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_i(GL_n(q))$, for $i = 1, \dots, 5$.*
3. *$H_1 \leq H \leq H_1 Z$ where H_1 is almost quasi-simple and $F^*(H_1) = S_1$ is absolutely irreducible on V.*
4. *$n = 4$ and $H \leq GO_4^+(q)$.*
5. *$H \leq (GL_m(q) \circ GL_m(q)).2$ with $n = m^2$ for $m \geq 3$.*

Proof. Since H is a subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ we apply Corollary 3.5. Observe first that if H lies in the small rank situe, then since $p \geq 7$ and $n > 2$ we must have conclusion 4.

Thus we are left with the question of what happens when $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_6(\delta_\zeta)$ for some form ζ that is non-degenerate or zero, or when $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_7(\delta_\kappa)$ when κ is the zero form.

Consider the latter situation first. Then M is equal to

$$\underbrace{(GL_m(q) \circ \cdots \circ GL_m(q))}_{t}.S_t$$

for $n = m^t, m \geq 3$. Observe that $t! < 7^{t^2}$ and so $|M| < q^{(m^2-1)t+2}t! \leq q^{(m^2-1)t+2} < q^{m^t-1}$ unless $t = 2$ or $(m,t) = (3,3)$. When $(m,t) = (3,3)$ it is enough to observe that $|M|_{p',\heartsuit} < 3q^{18}$; when $t = 2$ we have conclusion 5.

We are left with the problem of showing that if $M \in \mathcal{C}_6(\delta_\zeta)$ for some form ζ that is non-degenerate or zero, then

$$|M|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1;$$

in fact we prefer to show that $M_1 = (M/(M \cap Z(GL_n(q)) \cap PSL_n(q)$ satisfies the inequality

$$|M_1|_{p',\heartsuit} |(n, q-1)|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{n-2}. \tag{1}$$

Observe that M_1 is a subgroup of $PSL_n(q)$ and so its structure is given in [KL90, §4.6].

Suppose first that $n = 2^m$; we need to prove (1) for $m \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |M_1|_{p',\heartsuit} |(n, q-1)|_{p',\heartsuit} &\leq \max\{3, |Sp_{2m}(2)|_{p',\heartsuit}, |\Omega^+_{2m}(2)_{p',\heartsuit}, |\Omega^-_{2m}(2)|_{p',\heartsuit}\} \\ &\leq \max\{3, (2^{2i}+1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (2^{2i-1} - 1)\} \\ &\leq \max\{3, 2^{m^2+m+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\max\{3, 2^{m^2+m+1}\} < q^{2m-2}$ for $m \geq 3$. When $m = 2$, we find that $|M_1|_{p',\heartsuit} = 3$ and we are done.

Suppose that $n = r^m$ for r odd. Then $|M_1|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq \max\{3, |Sp_{2m}(r)|_{p',\heartsuit}\}$. If $r \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ then

$$|M_1|_{p',\heartsuit} |(n, q-1)|_{p',\heartsuit} < r^{m(m+1)} < q^{r^m - 2},$$

as required. If $r = 3$ then

$$|M_1|_{p',\heartsuit} |(n, q-1)|_{p',\heartsuit} < r^{m^2+m+1} < q^{r^m - 2},$$

as required. If $r \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ then

$$|M_1|_{p',\heartsuit} |(n, q-1)|_{p',\heartsuit} < r^{2m^2+4m} < q^{r^m - 2},$$

as required, unless $q = n = 7$ (in which case we can verify (1) directly). □

In what follows we will prove Lemma A by referring to the five types of subgroup given in Lemma 3.9.

3.3 Cases 1, 4 and 5

We begin by proving Lemma A for some easy situations; recall that $p \geq 7$.

1. Here $H \geq \Omega = SL_n(q)$. If n is even then H contains a central involution and we are done. If n is odd then H contains an involution g such that $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} = q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1$.

4. In this case $n = 4$ and $H \leq GO_4^+(q)$; now $GO_4^+(q)$ has a normal subgroup, $\Omega_4^+(q) \cong SL_2(q) \times SL_2(q)$ and $\Omega_4^+(q)Z$ is a normal subgroup of index 4 in $GO_4^+(q)$.

   The maximal subgroups of $PSL_2(q)$, for q odd, are listed in Lemma 3.7. Thus if $H \cap \Omega_4^+(q)$ is even then H contains an involution g such that $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq (q+1)^2$ and we are done. If, on the other hand, $|H \cap \Omega_4^+(q)|$ is odd then $|H \cap \Omega_4^+(q)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (\frac{q+1}{2})^2$ and we are done.

5. In this case $H \leq M = (GL_m(q) \times GL_m(q)) : 2$. Define $N = GL_m(q) \circ GL_m(q)$ to be the normal subgroup in M of index 2. Let g be an involution in $M \backslash N$; then

   $$|M : C_M(g)| = |GL_m(q)|.$$

   Hence if H contains an involution g outside $N \cap H$ then $|H : C_H(g)|_{p'} < q^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}$ which satisfies our bound provided $m \geq 3$.

   If, on the other hand, H contains no such involution then we take $g \in N$. The largest conjugacy class of involutions in N satisfies

   $$|N : C_N(g)|_{p'} = \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m}(q^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor}(q^i - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil}(q^i - 1)} \right)^2.$$

   Then $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq |N : C_N(g)|_{p'} < q^{\frac{1}{2}m^2 + \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{4}}$ which satisfies our bound provided $m \geq 3$.

3.4 Case 3 (almost quasi-simple)

We need to prove Lemma A for H where $H_1 \leq H \leq H_1 Z$ and H_1 is almost quasi-simple such that $F^*(H_1) = S_1$ is absolutely irreducible. Clearly we can assume that $H = H_1$; write S for the unique normal quasi-simple subgroup of H. Observe first of all that an imbedding of H in $GL(V)$ induces an imbedding of H/Z_1 in $PGL(V)$ where Z_1 is some central subgroup of S. Define

$$R_p(G) = \min\{n \,|\, G \leq PGL_n(\mathbb{F}) \text{ for } \mathbb{F} \text{ a field of characteristic } p\}.$$

We now present two results which, together, prove Lemma A for the situation where S is not a group of Lie type in characteristic p.

Lemma 3.10. *[KL90, Corollary 5.3.3] If L is quasisimple, then $R_r(L) \geq R_r(L/Z(L))$ for all primes r.*

Proposition 3.11. *Let $p \geq 7$ be a prime, and let S be a non-abelian simple group. Assume that S is not a group of Lie type in characteristic p. Then S contains an involution g such that*

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{\heartsuit} \leq p^{n-1} + \cdots + p + 1,$$

where $n = R_p(S)$.

Proof. The lemma is proved using information in [KL90]. In particular we use Propositions 5.3.7 and 5.3.8 as well as Theorem 5.3.9, all of which list values for $R_p(S)$ for different non-abelian simple groups S. Write $H = AutS$.

Suppose that $S = A_m$ is the alternating group on m letters with $m \geq 9$. Then $H \cong S_m$ and S contains an involution g (a double transposition) such that

$$|S_m : C_{S_m}(g)| = \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)(m-3)}{8}.$$

In particular $|S_m : C_{S_m}(g)| < 7^{m-3}$ for $m \geq 9$. Now [KL90, Proposition 5.3.7] implies that $n \geq m-2$ and so $|S_m : C_{S_m}(g)| < 7^{m-3} \leq q^{n-1}$ as required. For $m = 5, 6, 7$ or 8 then $R_p(S) = 2, 3, 4$ or 7, respectively, and the result follows immediately.

If S is a sporadic group then [KL90, Table 5.1.C] asserts that the outer automorphism group of H_1 divides 2. Hence, since $2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod 3$, we need only prove that $|S : C_S(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1$, where $n = R_p(S)$. In fact for the sporadic groups we are able to prove that $|S|_{\heartsuit} \leq 7^{n-1}$ which is sufficient. For instance if S is one of the Mathieu groups then $|S|_{\heartsuit}$ divides 3×7. Since $n \geq 5$ for the Mathieu groups the result follows.

Next suppose that S is a group of Lie type of characteristic coprime to p. Values for $R_p(S)$ are given in [KL90, Theorem 5.3.9], and a series of (easy and tedious) calculations confirms the inequality that we require. We present two sample calculations: If $H = E_6(r)$ then $R_p(S) = r^9(r^2-1)$. Now observe that $|H| < r^{78}$; it is then sufficient to observe that $r^{78} < 7^{r^9(r^2-1)-1}$. If, on the other hand, $H = \Omega_{2m+1}(r)$ with r odd and $m > 3$ then $R_p(S) \geq r^{2(m-1)} - r^{m-1}$. Now $|H| < r^{2m^2+m}$ and it is sufficient to observe that $r^{2m^2+m} < 7^{r^{2(m-1)}-r^{m-1}-1}$. □

For the remainder we assume that S is a quasi-simple group of Lie type defined over a field of size p^e. Note that $p \geq 7$ allows us to ignore ${}^2B_2(q)$, ${}^2G_q(q)$ and ${}^2F_4(q)$. The result that we require is the following.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $p \geq 7, q = p^f$ and S be a quasi-simple subgroup of $GL(n, q)$, such that the associated vector space V is an absolutely irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q S$-module. Then*

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{\heartsuit} \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Proof. We go through the possible quasi-simple groups, as listed in the Classification of Finite Simple Groups. Note that the lemma is trivial if S contains a central involution; hence we assume that this is not the case. Write $q = p^f$. We will refer to [KL90, Propositions 5.4.6, 5.4.8, 5.4.11, 5.4.15, and Corollary 2.10.10] for various facts about S that we use repeatedly. Our notation is consistent with [KL90]; in particular we use a superscript ϵ to denote a symbol in $\{+, -\}$ (or, in the case of $\Omega_m^\epsilon(p^e)$ with m odd, ϵ is blank). In the case of the symmetric groups the meaning of this is clear; for other groups $+$ denotes the untwisted version, $-$ the twisted version (so $E_6^-(p^e) = {}^2E_6(p^e)$ and $PSL^-(p^e) = PSU(p^e)$ for instance).

Suppose S is a quasi-simple cover of $PSL_m(p^e)$. If m is odd, then S contains an involution such that

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)| = (p^e)^{m-1}((p^e)^{m-1} + \cdots + (p^e) + 1).$$

The above propositions in [KL90] imply that $f|e$ and $n \geq \frac{em}{f}$, and the result follows.

If m is even, then the absence of a central involution implies that V is not the natural module for S. Then [KL90, Proposition 5.4.11] implies that $n \geq \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$. We know that S contains an involution such that

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)| = (p^e)^{2m-2}((p^e)^{m-2} + \cdots + (p^e) + 1)((p^e)^{m-2} + \cdots + (p^e)^2 + 1).$$

Since $f|e$, the result follows immediately for $m \geq 4$. When $m = 2$ we know that S contains an involution g such that

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)| \leq p^e + 1,$$

and, since $f|e$, we are done.

Suppose S is a quasi-simple cover of $PSU_m(p^e)$ (so S is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$). Assume that $m > 2$, since $PSU_2(p^e) \cong PSL_2(p^e)$ and this case is done. If m is odd, then S contains an involution such that

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)| = (p^e)^{m-1}((p^e)^{m-1} - \cdots - (p^e) + 1).$$

The above propositions in [KL90] imply that $n \geq \frac{em}{f}$, and the result follows.

If m is even, then, once again, the absence of a central involution implies that V is not the natural module for S. Then [KL90, Proposition 5.4.11] implies that $n \geq \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$. We know that that S contains an involution such that

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)| = (p^e)^{2m-2}((p^e)^{m-2} - \cdots - (p^e) + 1)((p^e)^{m-2} + \cdots + (p^e)^2 + 1).$$

The result follows immediately for $m \geq 4$.

For the remaining classical groups, since S has no central involution, we have S simple. We take g to be an involution that is central in the Levi subgroup L of a parabolic subgroup of S.

Suppose that $S = Sp_{2m}(p^e)$ and assume that $m \geq 2$, since $PSp_2(p^e) \cong PSL_2(p^e)$. Choose L to be isomorphic to $(q-1).(PGL_2(q) \times PSp_{n-2}(q))$ [KL90, Proposition 4.1.19]. Then L contains a central involution g such that

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq ((p^e)^{2m-2} + \cdots + (p^e)^2 + 1).$$

Since $n \geq \frac{2me}{f}$, we are done.

Suppose that $S = P\Omega^{\epsilon}_m(p^e)$. Assume that $m \geq 7$ as, otherwise, $P\Omega^{\epsilon}(p^e)$ is either not simple, or else is isomorphic to simple groups that we have already covered. Choose L to be isomorphic to a Levi complement stabilizing a 2-dimensional totally singular subspace of the associated natural vector space, as described in [KL90, Proposition 4.1.20]. Then L contains a central involution g such that

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq ((p^e)^{m-1} - 1).$$

Since $n \geq \frac{me}{f}$, we are done.

Now for the exceptional groups; names for exceptional groups are defined up to isogeny. We will always use the name of an exceptional group to refer to the adjoint version (which is therefore simple, since $p \geq 7$).

Suppose first that $S = G_2(p^e)$; then [GLS94, Table 4.5.1] implies that S contains an involution g such that $C_S(g) \geq SL_2(p^e) \circ SL_2(p^e)$. Thus

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq e((p^e)^4 + (p^e)^2 + 1).$$

Now $f|e$ and $n \geq \frac{7e}{f}$ [KL90, Propositions 5.4.6 and 5.4.12] and we are done.

Suppose that $S = F_4(p^e)$; then [GLS94, Table 4.5.1] implies that S contains an involution g such that $C_S(g) \geq Spin_9(p^e)$. Thus

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq e((p^e)^8 + (p^e)^4 + 1).$$

Now $f|e$ and $n \geq \frac{26e}{f}$ [KL90, Propositions 5.4.6 and 5.4.12] and we are done.

Suppose that S is a quasi-simple cover of $E_6^{\epsilon}(p^e)$; then [GLS94, Table 4.5.1] implies that S contains an involution g such that $C_S(g) \geq Spin^{\epsilon}_{10}(p^e)$. Thus $|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}$ is at most

$$3e((p^e)^8 + (p^e)^4 + 1)((p^e)^6 + \epsilon(p^e)^3 + 1)((p^e)^2 + \epsilon(p^e) + 1).$$

Now $f|2e$ and $n \geq \frac{27e}{f}$ [KL90, Propositions 5.4.6 and 5.4.12] and we are done.

Suppose that $S = E_7(p^e)$; then [GLS94, Table 4.5.1] implies that S contains an involution g such that $C_S(g) \geq SL_8^\epsilon(p^e)/(4, q-\epsilon)$, for some choice of ϵ. Thus $|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}$ is at most

$$e((p^e)^8 + (p^e)^4 + 1)((p^e)^{12} + (p^e)^6 + 1)((p^e)^7 + \epsilon)((p^e)^5 + \epsilon)((p^e)^3 + \epsilon).$$

Now $f|e$ and $n \geq \frac{56e}{f}$ [KL90, Propositions 5.4.6 and 5.4.12] and we are done.

Suppose that $S = E_8(p^e)$; then [GLS94, Table 4.5.1] implies that S contains an involution g such that $C_S(g) \geq 2.(PSL_2(p^e) \times E_7(p^e))$. Thus $|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}$ is at most

$$e((p^e)^{10} + 1)((p^e)^{12} + 1)((p^e)^6 + 1)((p^e)^{30} - 1).$$

Now $f|e$ and $n \geq \frac{248e}{f}$ [KL90, Propositions 5.4.6 and 5.4.12] and we are done.

Finally suppose that $S = {}^3D_4(p^e)$; then [GLS94, Table 4.5.1] implies that S contains an involution g such that $C_S(g) \geq (SL_2(p^{3e}) \circ SL_q(p^e)).2$. Thus

$$|AutS : C_{AutS}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq 3e((p^e)^8 + (p^e)^4 + 1).$$

Now either $f|e$ and $n \geq \frac{24e}{f}$ [KL90, Comments after Proposition 5.4.6; Proposition 5.4.12], or else $f|3e$ and $n \geq \frac{24e}{f}$ [KL90, Comments after Proposition 5.4.6; Proposition 5.4.13] and we are done. $\square$

3.5 Odd-order subgroups of $GL_n(q)$

We are left with Case 2 of Lemma 3.9. In order to deal with this we must prove some facts about odd order subgroups of $GL_n(q)$, where $q = p^f$ and $p \geq 7$.

Lemma 3.13. *Let H be a primitive subgroup of S_n, the symmetric group on n letters. If H has odd order then $|H| < n^{\log_2 n}$.*

Proof. If $n \leq 6$ then this is clearly true. Since H has odd order, all minimal normal subgroups are elementary abelian. Let P be such a minimal normal subgroup, $P = p_1^{b_1}$.

Referring to the O'Nan-Scott-Aschbacher theorem we see that $n = p_1^{b_1}$ and $H < P : GL_{b_1}(p_1)$. Since $p_1 > 2$, H has order less than $n^{\log_{p_1} n + 1} < n^{\log_2 n}$ for $n > 6$. $\square$

For the next lemma we introduce some notation. For a fixed positive integer n, we define λ, a *partition of n* to be a positive list of integers that sum to n; i.e. $\lambda = [\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{n_1},\underbrace{2,\ldots,2}_{n_2},\underbrace{3,\ldots,3}_{n_3},\ldots]$ where $n = \sum_i in_i$. For a partition λ of this form define the quantity

$$c_\lambda = \frac{n_1^{\log_2 n_1} n_2^{\log_2 n_2} n_3^{\log_2 n_3} \cdots |1^{n_1}2^{n_2}3^{n_3}\cdots|_{2'}}{2^{n_1+n_2+n_3+\cdots}}.$$

Clearly, for this definition to make sense, we must omit all terms n_i for which $n_i = 0$; this leaves a finite number of terms in our definition. Now define $c_n = \max\{|c_\lambda| \mid \lambda \text{ is a partition of } n\}$.

Lemma 3.14. *The following are true for $n > 1$:*

1. $c_n \geq 1$ *for* $n \geq 3$*;*
2. *If* $n = mk$, *for* $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ *then* $c_n \geq (c_m)^k k^{\log_2 k}$*;*
3. *If* $n = r + s$, *for* $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ *then* $c_n \geq c_r c_s$*;*
4. $c_n < 7^{\min\{\frac{1}{2}n-1,\frac{2}{5}n}$.

Proof. If $n \geq 3$, take λ to be the partition $[n-1,1]$. Then $c_\lambda \geq \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^{\log_2(n-1)} > 1$. If $n = 3$ let $\lambda = [3]$ and $c_3 = c_\lambda = \frac{3^{\log_2 3}}{2} > 1$.

If $n = mk$, let λ_m be a partition of m for which $c_m = c_{\lambda_m}$. Now let λ be the partition of n achieved by joining k copies of λ_m together. It is clear that $c_\lambda \geq (c_m)^k k^{\log_2 k}$.

If $n = r + s$, let λ_r (resp. λ_s) be a partition of r (resp. s) for which $c_r = c_{\lambda_r}$ (resp. $c_s = c_{\lambda_s}$). Now let λ be the partition of n achieved by joining λ_r to λ_s; then $c_\lambda \geq c_{\lambda_r} c_{\lambda_s}$.

Finally we prove that $c_n < 7^{\min\{\frac{1}{2}n-1,\frac{2}{5}n\}}$. Observe first that

$$c_\lambda = 2^{(\log_2 n_1)^2 - n_1} 2^{(\log_2 n_2)^2 - n_2} 2^{(\log_2 n_3)^2 - n_3} \cdots |1^{n_1}2^{n_2}3^{n_3}\cdots|_{2'}$$

and that $2^{(\log_2 m)^2 - m} < 2^{1.05}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We prove that $c_\lambda < 7^{\min\{\frac{1}{2}n-1,\frac{2}{5}n\}}$ by induction on $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \cdots$ (the number of terms in λ). Suppose first that $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \cdots = 1$; then $\lambda = [n]$ and $c_\lambda < 2^{1.05}|n|_{2'} < 7^{\min\{\frac{1}{2}n-1,\frac{2}{5}n\}}$ for $n \geq 4$. (When $n = 2$ or 3 we can verify directly that $c_\lambda < 7^{\frac{1}{2}n-1}$.)

Now suppose that λ has more than one non-zero entry; let i be one such entry. Observe that $i < n$ and let λ_i be the partition of $n - i$ achieved by omitting i from λ. By induction

$$c_\lambda < 2^{1.05} i c_{\lambda_i} < 2^{1.05} i 7^{\min\{\frac{1}{2}(n-i)-1,\frac{2}{5}(n-i)\}} < 7^{\min\{\frac{1}{2}n-1,\frac{2}{5}n\}}$$

as required. $\square$

Lemma 3.15. *Suppose $H < GL_n(q)$ where $q = p^f$ and $p \geq 7$. If H has odd order then $|H|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^n c_n$.*

Proof. The result is immediate for $n = 1$; for $n = 2$ the statement follows from Lemma 3.7. For $n > 2$, we refer to Lemma 3.9. Note that H cannot lie in Case 3 by the Feit-Thompson theorem; Case 1 is also impossible. If H lies in Case 4 then $n = 4$ and $H \leq GO_4^+(q)$; then $|H|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq \frac{1}{2}(q-1)|H \cap \Omega_4^+(q)|_{p',\heartsuit}$. Now $\Omega_4^+(q) \cong SL_2(q) \circ SL_2(q)$; then Lemma 3.7 implies that $|H \cap \Omega_4^+(q)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q+1)^2$ and so $|H|_{p',\heartsuit} < \frac{1}{2}(q-1)(q+1)^2$ and we are done.

We are left with the possibility that H lies in Case 2 or Case 5. We proceed by induction; the base case, $n = 1$, is already done. Now assume inductively that the statement is true for $H < GL_m(q)$ where $m < n$.

If H lies in Case 5 then $H \leq GL_m(q) \circ GL_m(q)$. Since $m \geq 3$, induction implies that

$$|H|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q^m c_m)^2 \leq q^{2m}(c_m)^2 < q^{m^2}(c_m)^2 < q^n c_n,$$

as required.

Now consider Case 2. First observe that if $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_5(GL_n(q))$ then $M = GL(n, q_0) \circ Z(GL(n, q))$ where $q = q_0^a$. Then it is sufficient to prove our Lemma for q_0. Thus we assume that H does not lie in a maximal subgroup of type 5.

If H lies in a parabolic subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ then $H/O_p(H) < GL_m(q) \times GL_{n-m}(q)$. Induction implies that

$$|H|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^m c_m \times c^{n-m} c_{n-m} \leq q^n c_n$$

which is sufficient. If $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_4(GL_n(q))$ then $M = (GL_r(q) \circ GL_s(q))$ for $n = rs$ with $2 \leq r < s$. Induction implies that

$$|H|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^r c_r q^s c_s = q^{r+s} c_r c_s < q^n c_n$$

as required.

If $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_2(GL_n(q))$ then $M = GL_m(q) \wr S_t$ where $n = mt, t \geq 2$. We assume that H acts transitively on the m-space decomposition otherwise H lies in a parabolic subgroup. In fact we assume that H acts primitively on the m-space decomposition since otherwise H lies in a maximal subgroup $M_1 = GL_{m_1}(q) \wr S_{t_1}$, $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_2(GL_n(q))$ with $t_1 < t$ and H acts primitively on this decomposition.

Now induction and Lemma 3.13 implies that,

$$|H|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q^m c_m)^t t^{\log_2 t}.$$

This is enough to give our result.

If $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_3(GL_n(q))$ then $M = GL_m(q^r).r$ where $n = mr$. By induction

$$|H|_{p',\heartsuit} < ((q^r)^m)c_m.r = q^n c_m r$$

where $n = mr$, $r \geq 2$. This is enough to give our result. $\square$

The last two lemmas give the following result, which will often be sufficient for our purposes:

Corollary 3.16. *Suppose $H < GL_n(q)$ where $q = p^f$ and $p \geq 7$. If H has odd order then $|H|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{\frac{3n-2}{2}}$.*

Lemma 3.17. *Let H be an odd order subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ and let σ be an involutory field automorphism of $GL_n(q)$. Suppose that H is normalized by g, an involution in $\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle \backslash GL_n(q)$. Then*

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1.$$

Proof. Note that, by [BGL77, Proposition 1.1], we know that g is $GL_n(q)$-conjugate to σ hence we take H to be normalized by σ. Observe that $C_{Z(G)}(g) = (\sqrt{q} - 1)$ (which yields the result for $n = 1$) and that $q \geq 49$.

For $n = 2$, it is clear that $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (\sqrt{q} + 1)k$ where $k = \max\{|H_1|_{p',\heartsuit} : H_1 \in PSL_2(q)\}$; we refer to Lemma 3.7, and the statement follows immediately.

For $n > 2$ we refer to Corollary 3.5, and observe that Cases 1 and 4 are impossible. If we are in Case 5 then $n = 4$ and $H \leq GO_4^+(q)$. Now $\Omega_4^+(q) \cong SL_2(q) \circ SL_2(q)$; thus Lemma 3.7 implies that $|H \cap \Omega_4^+(q)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q + 1)^2$ which yields the result immediately.

Suppose next that we are in Case 2 or 3. In particular suppose first that, either Case 3 holds, or $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_i(\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle)$ for $i = 6, 7$. Then Lemma 3.9 implies that $M = (GL_n(q) \circ GL_n(q)).(\langle\sigma\rangle \times 2)$ and $H < N = GL_{\sqrt{n}}(q) \circ GL_{\sqrt{n}}(q)$. N is normalized in $GL_n(q)$ by τ, an involution which swaps the two copies of $GL_{\sqrt{n}}(q)$. Thus g may take two forms.

Firstly suppose that $g = (A, B)\sigma$ where $A, B \in GL_{\sqrt{n}}(q)$. Now N contains two normal subgroups isomorphic to $GL_{\sqrt{n}}(q)$ that are normalized by g and, by [BGL77, Proposition 1.1], there is only one class of involutions in each copy of $\langle GL_{\sqrt{n}}(q), g\rangle$. Then, by induction,

$$|H : C_H(\sigma)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq (q^{\sqrt{n}-\frac{1}{2}} + \cdots + q + q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)^2 < q^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1.$$

Secondly suppose that $g = (A, B)\tau\sigma$. Then, for $(X, Y) \in N$,

$$(X, Y)^g = (AY^\sigma A^{-1}, A^{-\sigma}X^\sigma A^\sigma).$$

Thus (X,Y) will be centralized by g if and only if $X = AY^{\sigma}A^{-1}$. Thus $|N : C_N(g)| = |GL_{\sqrt{n}}(q)|$ and so $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq |K|$ where K is a subgroup of odd order in $GL_{\sqrt{n}}(q)$. Hence, by Lemma 3.15, $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{\frac{3\sqrt{n}-2}{2}} < q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ as required.

We are left with the possibility that $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_i(\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$. In this case we proceed by induction; the base case has already been attended to.

If $M \in \mathcal{C}_5(\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle)$ then

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq \frac{\sqrt{q}+1}{2}|GL_n(q_0) : C_{GL_n(q_0)}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}.$$

Thus it is enough to prove the result for $GL_n(q_0)$ where q_0 is the order of the subfield. Hence we assume that $M \notin \mathcal{C}_5(\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle)$.

If $M \in \mathcal{C}_1(\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle)$ then let $O_p(M)$ be the largest normal p-group of M and let $L = H/(H \cap O_p(M))$. Then

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} = |L : C_L(g_L)|_{p',\heartsuit}$$

for some involution $g_L \in L$. Now $L \leq GL_m(q) \times GL_{n-m}(q)$ and we apply induction:

$$\begin{aligned}|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} &< (q^{m-\frac{1}{2}} + \cdots + q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)(q^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}} + \cdots + q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1) \\ &< q^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1.\end{aligned}$$

A similar approach can be taken if $M \in \mathcal{C}_4(\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle)$. Then $M \cong (GL_m(q) \circ GL_t(q))\langle\sigma\rangle$ for $n = mt$ and, once more, induction gives the result.

Now suppose that $M \in \mathcal{C}_3(\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle)$, so $M \cong (GL_{\frac{n}{r}}(q^r).r)\langle\sigma\rangle$ with r prime. If $r = 2$ then any element from $\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle \backslash GL_n(q)$ which normalizes M will act as a field automorphism of order 4 on $GL_{\frac{n}{r}}(q^r)$. In particular such an element cannot be an involution. On the other hand if r is odd then $\langle M, \sigma\rangle \cong GL_{\frac{n}{r}}(q^r).2r$ and g acts as an involutory field automorphism on $GL_{\frac{n}{r}}(q^r)$. Then Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} = |H \cap GL_{\frac{n}{r}}(q^r) : C_{H \cap GL_{\frac{n}{r}}(q^r)}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}$$

and induction gives the result.

Finally consider the possibility that $M \in \mathcal{C}_2(\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle)$. Thus $H < \langle (GL_m(q) \wr S_t), \sigma\rangle$ with $t \geq 2$. Just as for Lemma 3.15, we assume that $\langle H, \sigma\rangle$ acts primitively on the m-space decomposition. Take $g = s\sigma$ and note that s acts as an involution on the m-space decomposition.

We need to consider two situations which closely mirror the two cases discussed for $\mathcal{C}_7$. First consider $C_S(g)$ where S is the projection of H onto a particular $GL_m(q)$ which is fixed by s. By induction $|S : C_S(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{m-\frac{1}{2}} + \cdots + q^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1$. Alternatively if $GL_m(q) \times GL_m(q)$ are swapped by s, and S is the projection of H onto $GL_m(q) \times GL_m(q)$ then it is clear that $|S : C_S(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}$ is at most the size of an odd-order subgroup in $GL_m(q)$. Thus, by Lemma 3.15, this is bounded above by $q^{\frac{3m-2}{2}} < q^{2m-1}$.

Now write $N = \underbrace{GL_m(q) \times \cdots \times GL_m(q)}_{t}$. Then Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$|H : C_H(g)| = |H \cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)||H/N : C_{H/N}(gN)|.$$

Thus, writing s as the product of k transpositions in its action on the m-space decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H \cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} &< (q^{m-\frac{1}{2}} + \cdots + q + 1)^{t-2k} \times (q^{2m-1})^k \\ &< \frac{(q^m-1)^2}{\sqrt{q}-1} \times \frac{1}{(\sqrt{q}-1)^{t-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, referring to Lemma 3.13, it is sufficient to prove that $t^{\log_2 t} < (\sqrt{q}-1)^{t-1}$ which, since $\sqrt{q} \geq 7$, is clear. ☐

Lemma 3.18. *Let $H < GL_n(q)$ with $|H|$ odd. Suppose that g is an involution in $GL_n(q)$ which normalizes H. Then,*

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. When $n = 1$ the result is trivial and when $n = 2$ Lemma 3.8 gives the result.

Now suppose that $n > 2$ and refer to Lemma 3.9 for the group $\langle H, g\rangle$. Clearly Cases 1 and 3 are not relevant here. If Case 4 or Case 5 holds then our result is implied by Lemma A, which we have already proved in these cases. Thus we are left with Case 2: $\langle H, g\rangle \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_i(GL_n(q))$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$.

If $M \in \mathcal{C}_5(GL_n(q))$ then we can assume that $H \leq GL_n(q_0)$ where $q = q_0^a$. Then it is sufficient to prove the result over the base case. Thus we assume that $M \notin \mathcal{C}_5(GL_n(q))$. If $M \in \mathcal{C}_i(GL_n(q))$ for $i = 1$ or 4, then an easy inductive argument gives us the result (as for Lemma 3.17).

If $M \in \mathcal{C}_2(GL_n(q))$ then $H < GL_m(q) \wr S_t$ where $n = mt$. Set $N = \underbrace{GL_m(q) \times \cdots \times GL_m(q)}_{t}$ and recall that Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$|H : C_H(g)| = |H \cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)||H/N : C_{H/N}(gN)|.$$

We assume that N/H acts primitively on the t copies of $GL_m(q)$ as otherwise H lies in a maximal subgroup $M_1 = GL_{m_1}(q) \wr S_{t_1}$, $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_2(GL_n(q))$ with $t_1 < t$ such that H acts primitively on this decomposition.

If g lies inside N then induction implies that $|H \cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (\frac{q^m-1}{q-1})^t$. Lemma 3.13 implies that $|H/N| < t^{\log_2 t}$ which is sufficient.

If $g \in H\backslash N$, then we proceed very similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.17. First consider $C_S(g)$ where S is the projection of H onto a particular $GL_m(q)$ which is fixed by g. By induction $|S : C_S(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{m-1}+\cdots+q+1$. Alternatively if $GL_m(q)\times GL_m(q)$ are swapped by g, and S is the projection of H onto $GL_m(q)\times GL_m(q)$ then it is clear that $|S : C_S(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}$ is at most the size of an odd-order subgroup in $GL_m(q)$. Thus, by Corollary 3.16, this is bounded above by $q^{\frac{3m-2}{2}}$. Thus, for $m > 1$, $|H \cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q^{m-1}+\cdots+q+1)^t$. Again, Lemma 3.13 implies that $|H/N| < t^{\log_2 t}$ which is sufficient.

If $g \in H\backslash N$ and $m = 1$ then it is clear that $|C_{H\cap N}(g)| > (q-1)^{\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil}$ and so $|H \cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq (\frac{q-1}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}$. It is therefore sufficient to prove that $|H/N|_{p',\heartsuit} < (2(q-1))^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$. When $n \geq 7$ this follows from the fact that $|H/N| < t^{\log_2 t}$ (Lemma 3.13). For $n < 7$, $|H/N|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq 3$ and the result follows immediately.

If $M \in \mathcal{C}_3(GL_n(q))$ then $H \leq M = GL_m(q^r).r$, where $n = mr$ with r prime, then there are two cases. If g lies inside $GL_m(q^r)$ then induction implies that

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < ((q^r)^{m-\frac{1}{2}}) + \cdots + (q^r)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)r \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q^1 + 1.$$

Otherwise if g does not lie in $GL_m(q^r)$ then we must have $r = 2$ and Lemma 3.17 gives the result. □

Lemma 3.19. *Let $H < GL_n(q)$ with $|H|$ odd. Let σ be an field automorphism of $GL_n(q)$ satisfying $\sigma^2 = 1$. Suppose that K is a 2-group in $\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle$ which normalizes H. Then*

$$\big||H : C_H(g)||H : C_H(h)|\big|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{\frac{3n}{2}}c_n$$

for distinct involutions $g, h \in K$.

Note that if q is not square then $\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle = GL_n(q)$. Note too that c_n was defined immediately after Lemma 3.13.

Proof. Let us deal first with $n = 2$; we must show that

$$\big||H : C_H(g)||H : C_H(h)|\big|_{p',\heartsuit} < \frac{1}{2}q^3.$$

Lemma 3.8 implies that there exists g such that

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < q + 1.$$

Now Lemma 3.7 implies that $|H|_{p',\heartsuit} < \frac{1}{4}(q-1)^2$ and the result follows.

Now take $n > 3$ and consider $\langle H, K\rangle$ as a subgroup of $\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle$ and refer to Corollary 3.5. We consider the five cases listed there; observe first that Case 4 is impossible. Consider Case 1 next: $\langle H, K\rangle$ contains Ω. Then, since $\langle H, K\rangle$ is soluble this implies that $n = 1$. Then $K \cap GL_1(q)$ contains an involution g; clearly $H = C_H(g)$. On the other hand Lemmas 3.18 and 3.17 imply that $|H : C_H(h)|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^n$; we are done.

Now consider Case 5: we lie in the small rank situe. We have dealt with $n = 2$ hence we are left with $n = 4$ and $H < GO_4^+(q) \cong Z(SL_2(q) \circ SL_2(q)).4$; then $|H|_{p',\heartsuit}| \leq \frac{1}{16}(q-1)(q+1)^2$ and we are done.

We move on to Case 3 and observe first that

$$\frac{|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}|H : C_H(h)|_{p',\heartsuit}}{\big||H : C_H(g)||H : C_H(h)|\big|_{p',\heartsuit}} \in \{1, 3\}.$$

In fact we expand Case 3 to cover $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_6(\Delta_\eta)$ for η non-degenerate or zero. Let $n = r^m$ where r is some prime. Suppose first that $r \not\equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Then

$$|H/(H \cap Z)|_\heartsuit \leq |Sp_{2m}(r)|_{r'} < r^{m^2+m} < n^{m+1} < \frac{1}{3}7^{\frac{3n}{4}}$$

and the result follows.

If, on the other hand, $r \equiv 1 \pmod 3$ then $|H/(H \cap Z)|_\heartsuit \leq (n, q-1)|Sp_{2m}(r)|_{r'} < r^{2m^2+4m} < n^{2m+4}$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $n^{2m+4} < \frac{1}{3}7^{\frac{3n}{4}}$ which is true for $n \geq 13$. If $n = 7$ then $|H/(H \cap Z)|_\heartsuit$ divides 3×7^4 which is sufficient.

We are left with Case 2 or, more precisely, with the possibility that $\langle H, K\rangle \leq M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_i(\langle GL_n(q), \sigma\rangle)$ for some $i = 1, \ldots, 5, 7$. Then $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_i(GL_n(q))$ for some $i = 1, \ldots, 5, 7$. Clearly if $M \in \mathcal{C}_5(GL_n(q))$ then it is sufficient to prove the result for $H \cap M$ taking the place of H.

If $M \in \mathcal{C}_7(GL_n(q)$ then $M \cong (GL_m(q) \circ \cdots \circ GL_m(q)).S_t$ with $n = m^t$ and $m \geq 3$; Corollary 3.16 and Lemma 3.13 imply that

$$|H|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq (q^{\frac{3m-2}{2}})^t t^{\log_2 t} < q^{\frac{3mt}{2}}.$$

Now $q^{\frac{3mt}{2}} \leq q^{\frac{3m^t}{4}}$ unless $(m, t) = (3, 2)$, so assume that $(m, t) = (3, 2)$. Then Lemma 3.13 implies that

$$|H|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq (q^6)(c_3)^2 < q^{\frac{27}{4}}$$

as required.

We are left with the possibility that $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_i(GL_n(q))$ for some $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and we proceed by induction. For $i = 1$ or 4 the result is immediate from induction, since in this case $M/O_p(M)$ is isomorphic to $GL_m(q) \times GL_{n-m}(q)$ or $GL_m(q) \circ GL_{\frac{n}{m}}(q)$.

For $i = 3$ we have $M \cong GL_{\frac{n}{r}}(q^r).r$ where r is prime. If $r = 2$ then no field automorphism of $GL_n(q)$ normalizes M hence we can consider $\langle H, K\rangle \leq GL_{\frac{n}{r}}(q^r).2$ and the result follows by induction. If r is odd then write $H_1 = H \cap GL_{\frac{n}{r}}(q^r)$; induction implies that

$$\big||H_1 : C_{H_1}(g)||H_1 : C_{H_1}(h)|\big|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{\frac{3n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{r}}.$$

Thus

$$\big||H : C_H(g)||H : C_H(h)|\big|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{\frac{3n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{r}} |r|_{2'}.$$

Let λ be the partition of $\frac{n}{r}$ such that $c_\lambda = c_{\frac{n}{r}}$. If $n \geq \frac{n}{r} + r + 4$ then we can create a partition, μ, of n by appending two integers to λ, namely r and $n - \frac{n}{r} - r$. Then $c_\mu \geq c_\lambda |r|_{2'}$ and the result follows. If, on the other hand, $n \leq \frac{n}{r} + r + 4$, then there are three possibilities:

- $\frac{n}{r} = 1$; then $M \leq (q^r - 1).r$ and H has a central involution; since $|M| < rq^r$ this yields the result immediately.

- $\frac{n}{r} = 2$; then $c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $c_{2r} \geq c_{\{r,r\}} = \frac{1}{2}(|r|_{2'})^2$ and the result follows.

- $r = 3$ and $n = 9$. In this case define $c_3 = \frac{3}{2}\log_2 3$ and $c_9 \geq c_{\{3,3,3\}} = \frac{3^{\log_2 3} 3^3}{2^3}$ which is sufficient.

Finally assume that $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_2(GL_n(q))$. Then $M \cong GL_m(q) \wr S_t$. Write N for the normal subgroup of M isomorphic to $\underbrace{GL_m(q) \times \cdots \times GL_m(q)}_{t}$ and let $H_1 = \langle H, K\rangle \cap N$; we may assume as usual that $\langle H, K\rangle / H_1$ acts primitively in the natural action on t copies of $GL_m(q)$.

Consider the action of $K_1 = \langle g, h\rangle$ on the t copies of $GL_m(q)$; suppose that K_1 has an orbit of length $t_1 > 1$ (note that t_1 is even). Then K_1 normalizes $H_2 = H \cap \underbrace{(GL_m(q) \times \cdots \times GL_m(q))}_{t_1}$ and we consider the induced action of K_1 on a set of size t_1; we can assume that g is a product of $\frac{t_1}{2}$ transpositions, while h is a product of either $\frac{t_1}{2} - 1$ or $\frac{t_1}{2}$ transpositions. Lemma 3.15 implies that

$$|H_2 : C_{H_2}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq (q^m c_m)^{\frac{t_1}{2}};$$

similarly Lemmas 3.15, 3.17 and 3.18 imply that

$$|H_2 : C_{H_2}(h)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq (q^m c_m)^{\frac{t_1}{2} - 1} q^{2m}.$$

If K_1 has an orbit of length 1 then K_1 normalizes $H_3 = H \cap GL_m(q)$, for a particular copy of $GL_m(q)$. We apply induction to conclude that

$$\big||H_3 : C_{H_3}(g)||H_3 : C_{H_3}(g)|\big|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{\frac{3m}{2}} c_m.$$

Putting these results together we conclude that

$$\big||H_1 : C_{H_1}(g)||H_2 : C_{H_2}(g)|\big|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{\frac{3tm}{2}} (c_m)^t.$$

Now $|H/(H \cap N)| < t^{\log_2 t}$ and so, by Lemma 3.14,

$$\big||H_1 : C_{H_1}(g)||H_2 : C_{H_2}(g)|\big|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{\frac{3tm}{2}} (c_m)^t t^{\log_2 t} < q^{\frac{3n}{2}} c_n$$

as required. □

3.6 Case 2

We are now ready to prove Lemma for H satisfying Case 2 of Lemma 3.9, when $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_i(GL_n(q))$, for $i = 1, \dots, 5$. If $M \in \mathcal{C}_5(GL_n(q))$ then $M = GL_n(q_0)Z(GL_n(q))$ and it is enough to prove the result for $H \cap GL_n(q_0) \leq GL_n(q_0)$. We exclude this case and, for the rest, suppose that Lemma A holds for $m < n$, i.e. we proceed under the following hypothesis:

Inductive Hypothesis. *Let H_1 be an even order subgroup of $GL_m(q)$ with $m < n$. Then H_1 contains an involution g such that $|H_1 : C_{H_1}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1$.*

Lemma 3.20. *If H has even order $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_i(GL_n(q))$ with $i = 1, 3,$ or 4, then then $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1$.*

Proof. If $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_1(GL_n(q))$ then $H \leq Q : (GL_m(q) \times GL_{n-m}(q))$ where $Q = O_p(M)$ and $m > 1$. Set $L = M/Q$ and observe that, for any $g \in H$,

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} = |H_L : C_{H_L}(g_L)|_{p',\heartsuit}$$

where H_L is a subgroup of L and g_L is an involution in H_L. Now suppose, without loss of generality, that $m \leq n - m$, and set $N = H_L \cap GL_n(q)$. Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$|H_L : C_{H_L}(g_L)| = |N : C_N(g)| \times |H/N : C_{H/N}(g_L N)|.$$

Now H/N is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL_{n-m}(q)$ and induction implies that we can choose g_L such that

$$|H/N : C_{H/N}(g_L N)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n-m-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Then g_L normalizes N and $\langle g_L, N\rangle$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL_m(q)$; thus Lemma 3.18 implies that

$$|H_L : C_{H_L}(g_L)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1,$$

as required. If, on the other hand, N has even order then Lemma 2.2 implies that, for $g_L \in N$,

$$|H_L : C_{H_L}(g_L)| \leq |N : C_N(g_L)| \times \frac{|g_L^{H_L} \cap P|}{|g_L^N \cap P|}$$

where P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of N. By induction we can choose g_L so that

$$|N : C_N(g_L)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Furthermore Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\frac{|g_L^{H_L} \cap P|}{|g_L^N \cap P|} < 2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1} < q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Since $m \leq n - m$ the result follows.

If $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_3(GL_n(q))$ then $H \leq M = GL_m(q^r).r$ where $n = mr$ and r is prime. Let $N = GL_m(q^r)$ be normal in M and split into two cases. Suppose first that $|H \cap N|$ is even. Then induction implies that $H \cap N$ contains an involution g such that

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq ((q^r)^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1)r < q^{mr-1} + \cdots + q + 1$$

as required. Suppose on the other hand that $|H \cap N|$ is odd. Then $r = 2$ and Lemma 3.18 gives the result.

If $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_4(GL_n(q))$ then $M \cong GL_{n_1}(q) \circ GL_{n_2}(q)$ where $n = n_1 n_2$ and $1 < n_1 < n_2$. Proceed similarly to the case $\mathcal{C}_1$, observing that M has normal subgroups isomorphic to both $GL_{n_1}(q)$ and $GL_{n_2}(q)$; thus we define $N = H \cap GL_{n_1}(q)$. Suppose first that N has even order and take g to be an involution in N. Then Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$|H : C_H(g)| = |N : C_N(g)| \frac{|g^H \cap P|}{|g^N \cap P|}$$

where P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of N. By induction we can choose g so that

$$|N : C_N(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n_1-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Furthermore Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\frac{|g^H \cap P|}{|g^N \cap P|} < 2^{n_1+\frac{n_1}{2}-1} < q^{n_1-1} + \cdots + q + 1,$$

and the result follows. Suppose, on the other hand, that N has odd order. In particular this means that $H \cap Z(M)$ has order z, an odd number. Now Lemma 2.1 implies that, for g an involution in H,

$$|H : C_H(g)| = |N : C_N(g)| \times |H/N : C_{H/N}(gN)|.$$

Observe that H/N is a subgroup of $PGL_{n_2}(q)$. Let H_X be the subgroup of $GL_{n_1}(q) \times GL_{n_2}(q)$ such that $H_X \cap Z(GL_{n_1}(q) \times GL_{n_2}(q)) \cong z \times z$, and such that $\pi(H_X) = H$ where π is the natural map,

$$\pi : GL_{n_1}(q) \times GL_{n_2}(q) \to GL_{n_1}(q) \circ GL_{n_2}(q).$$

Let H_2 be the image of H_X under the natural projection $GL_{n_1}(q) \times GL_{n_2}(q) \to GL_{n_2}(q)$. Observe that $H_2/(H_2 \cap Z(GL_{n_2}(q)) \cong H/N$. By induction there exists an involution $g_2 \in H_2$ such that

$$|H_2 : C_{H_2}(g_2)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n_2-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Let g_X be an involution and preimage of g_2 in H_X; define $g = \pi(g_X)$, an involution in H. Observe that

$$|H/N : C_{H/N}(gN)| = |H_2 : C_{H_2}(g_2)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n_2-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Furthermore $\langle g, N \rangle$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL_{n_1}(q)$. Lemma 3.18 implies that

$$|N : C_N(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n_1-1} + \cdots + q + 1,$$

and the result follows. □

3.6.1 $\mathcal{C}_2(GL_n(q))$

The final case to consider is when $H \leq M \in \mathcal{C}_2(GL_n(q))$. Then $M = GL_m(q) \wr S_t, n = mt, t \geq 2$. Write

$$N = \underbrace{GL_m(q) \times \cdots \times GL_m(q)}_{t}.$$

We assume that M acts primitively on the m-space decomposition, since otherwise H lies in a maximal subgroup $M_1 = GL_{m_1}(q) \wr S_{t_1}$, $M_1 \in \mathcal{C}_2(GL_n(q))$ with $t_1 < t$ such that H acts primitively on this decomposition.

Lemma 3.21. *Suppose that $H \cap N$ has odd order, H has even order, and $t < n$. Then H contains an involution g such that $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.15,

$$|H \cap N|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q^{\frac{3m-2}{2}})^t.$$

Write $N = N_1 \times \cdots N_t$ where $N_i \cong GL_m(q), i = 1, \ldots, t$. Then, for g an involution in H, we can choose an ordering of the N_i so that g either normalizes N_i or swaps N_i with $N_{i\pm1}$. Let H_i be the projection of H into N_i; if g normalizes N_i then write g_i for the element of N_i induced by the action of g. Lemma 3.18 implies that

$$|H_i : C_{H_i}(g_i)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

If g swaps N_i and N_{i+1} then write $H_{i,i+1}$ for the projection of H into $N_i \times N_{i+1}$ (observe that the projection of H onto N_i is isomorphic to the projection of H onto N_{i+1}); write $g_{i,i+1}$ for the element of $N_i \times N_{i+1}$ induced by the action of g. Then Lemma 3.15 implies that

$$|H_{i,i+1} : C_{H_{i,i+1}}(g_{i,i+1})| \leq \sqrt{|H_{i,i+1}|} \leq q^{\frac{3m-2}{4}}.$$

Now write g as a product of k transvections in its action upon the set $\{N_1, \ldots, N_t\}$. Then

$$|H \cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq (q^{\frac{3m-2}{2}})^k (q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1)^{t-2k} \leq \frac{q^n - 1}{(q-1)^t}.$$

Now Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$|H : C_H(g)| = |H \cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)| \times |H/(H \cap N) : C_{H/(H\cap N)}(g(H \cap N))|,$$

hence it is enough to prove that $H/(H \cap N)$ contains an involution g such that

$$|H/(H \cap N) : C_{H/(H\cap N)}(g(H \cap N))|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq (q-1)^{t-1}.$$

Now $S_t < GL_{t-1}(q)$ (see the description of the "deleted permutation module" on [KL90, p. 185]) hence, by induction, there is an involution g in H such that

$$|H/(H \cap N) : C_{H/(H\cap N)}(g(H \cap N))|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{t-2} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

The result follows immediately. □

We next consider the possibility that $H \cap N$ has odd order and $t = n$. We state a preliminary lemma before dealing with this case.

Lemma 3.22. *If H is a primitive subgroup of S_n of even order then H contains an involution g such that $|H : C_H(g)| < 42^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$.*

Proof. The statement is clearly true if $n \leq 7$. If $n \geq 8$ then $4^n < 42^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$. Then we refer to [PS80] which asserts that any primitive subgroup of degree n must either contain A_n or else has order less than 4^n.

If H contains A_n then H contains an involution g such that $|H : C_H(g)| < n^4$ and we are done.[1] $\square$

Lemma 3.23. *Suppose that $H \cap N$ has odd order and $t = n$. Then H contains an involution g such that $|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1$.*

Proof. Observe that $N = (q-1)^n$. We proceed very similarly to the proofs of Lemmas 3.17 and 3.18. First consider $C_S(g)$ where S is the projection of H onto a particular $q-1$ which is fixed by g. Clearly $|S : C_S(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} = 1$. Alternatively if $(q-1) \times (q-1)$ are swapped by g, and S is the projection of H onto $(q-1) \times (q-1)$ then it is clear that $|S : C_S(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q-1)$. Thus, in all cases,

$$|N : C_N(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

By Lemma 3.22

$$|H/N : C_{H/N}(gN)| \leq 42^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \times 42^{\frac{n-2}{2}}.$$

For $q \geq 43$ this is less than $q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1$.

If $q < 43$ then $q = 7, 13, 19, 31$ or 37. In all cases $|q-1|_{p',\heartsuit} = 3$ and hence $|N : C_N(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq 3$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1,

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq 3 \times 42^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

$\square$

The final subcase is when $H \cap N$ has even order. The following lemma deals with this situation.

Lemma 3.24. *Suppose that $H \leq GL_m(q) \wr S_t$ where $n = mt$. Write*

$$N = \underbrace{GL_m(q) \times \cdots \times GL_m(q)}_{t}.$$

Suppose that $H \cap N$ has even order. Then H contains an involution g such that

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

---

[1] My thanks to Professor László Pyber for advice regarding this proof.

Proof. Suppose first that $m = 1$. Then N contains at most 2^n involutions and so

$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < 2^n \leq q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1,$$

as required. Assume now that $m > 1$.

Let V be the associated vector space for $GL_n(q)$. Write $N = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_t$ with $N_i \cong GL_m(q)$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Our analysis (and our notation) mirrors the set up in Lemma 2.3. Write L_i for the projection of $H \cap N$ onto $N_i \times \cdots \times N_t$ and write $\psi_i : L_i \to L_{i+1}$ for the canonical projection. Let T_i be the kernel of ψ_i for $1 \leq i < t$; define $T_t := L_t$. Suppose that $|T_i|$ is odd for $i < k \leq t$ and $|T_i|$ is even for $i = k$.

Observe that $T_k \leq GL_m(q)$. Then, by induction, take an involution $g_k \in T_k$ such that

$$|T_k : C_{t_k}(g_k)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$

Let $g \in G$ be an involution and pre-image of g_k in $H \cap N$ (such a pre-image must exist since $|T_i|$ is odd for $i < k$. Write g_i for the image of g under the projection of $H \cap N$ onto L_i. We proceed in stages:

1. **An upper bound for $|H \cap N : C_{H \cap N}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}$.** Lemma 3.18 implies that

   $$|T_i : C_{T_i}(g_i)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1,$$

   and Lemma 2.5 implies that $|(g_k)^{L_k} \cap P_k| < 2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1}$ where P_k is a Sylow 2-subgroup of T_k. Combining these results with Lemma 2.3 implies that

   $$\begin{aligned} |H \cap N : C_{H \cap N}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} &= \prod_{i=1}^{k} |T_i : C_{T_i}(g_i)|_{p',\heartsuit} \frac{|(g_k)^{L_k} \cap P_k|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|(g_k)^{T_k} \cap P_k|_{p',\heartsuit}} \\ &\leq (q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1)^k \frac{|(g_k)^{L_k} \cap P_k|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|(g_k)^{T_k} \cap P_k|_{p',\heartsuit}} \\ &< \begin{cases} (q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1)^k 2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1}, & k < t \\ (q^{m-1} + \cdots + q + 1)^t, & k = t \end{cases} \\ &< \frac{q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1}{(q-1)^{t-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

   Notice that if $|H : C_H(g)| = |H \cap N : C_{H \cap N}(g)|$ then the result follows immediately; thus we assume that this is not the case, i.e. that H fuses the conjugacy class containing g to another.

2. **A lower bound for t.** It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}|H:C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} &\leq |H\cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}|H/(H\cap N)|_{p',\heartsuit}\\ &< \frac{q^{n-1}+\cdots+q+1}{(q-1)^{t-1}}|t!|_{p',\heartsuit}\end{aligned}$$

   Thus the result holds provided $|t!|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq 6^{t-1}$. So assume that $|t!|_{p',\heartsuit} > 6^{t-1}$; in particular assume that $t > 20$.

3. **A lower bound for k.** Let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of N; let T be the full pre-image of T_k in $H\cap N$; observe that $H\cap N$ is itself the full pre-image of L_k. Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}|H:C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} &= |H\cap N : C_{H\cap N}(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}\frac{|g^H\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|g^{H\cap N}\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}\\ &= |T:C_T(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}\frac{|(g_k)^{L_k}\cap P_k|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|(g_k)^{T_k}\cap P_k|_{p',\heartsuit}}\frac{|g^H\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|g^{H\cap N}\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}.\end{aligned}$$

   Now we can choose P so that, if $\phi : N \to L_k$ is the natural projection map, then P contains a subgroup P' such that $\phi(P') = P_k$. Then Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}|H:C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} &\leq |T:C_T(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}\frac{|g^{H\cap N}\cap P'|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|g^T\cap P'|_{p',\heartsuit}}\frac{|g^H\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|gH\cap N\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}\\ &= |T:C_T(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}\frac{|g^{H\cap N}\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|g^T\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}\frac{|g^H\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|g^{H\cap N}\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}\\ &= |T:C_T(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}\frac{|g^H\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|g^T\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}.\end{aligned}$$

   Observe that

$$|T:C_T(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} = \prod_{i=1}^{k}|T_i : C_{T_i}(g_i)|_{p',\heartsuit}.$$

   Then Lemmas 2.5 and 3.18 imply that

$$|H:C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (q^{m-1}+\cdots+q+1)^k(2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1})^{t-k+1}$$

   If $k \leq t-2$ then the result follows. Thus assume that $k \geq t-1$.

4. **A lower bound for m.** From the previous paragraph observe that

$$\begin{aligned}|H:C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} &< (q^{m-1}+\cdots+q+1)^k(2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1})^{t-k+1}\\ &\leq (q^{m-1}+\cdots+q+1)^t 2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1}\\ &= (q^m-1)^t\frac{2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1}}{(q-1)^t}\\ &< (q^{n-1}+\cdots+q+1)\frac{2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1}}{(q-1)^{t-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

   If $2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1} \leq (q-1)^{t-1}$ then the result follows. Thus we assume that $2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1} > (q-1)^{t-1}$; in particular $m > t$.

5. **The first fusion situation.** Then

$$|H:C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq |T:C_T(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}\frac{|g^H\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}{|g^T\cap P|_{p',\heartsuit}}.$$

   Take $g=(g_1,\dots,g_k,1,\dots,1)$ and $h=(h_1,\dots,h_k,1,\dots,1)$ in $g^H\cap P$. Suppose that $g_i\neq h_i$ for some $i\leq k$. Then we apply Lemma 3.19 to $\langle T,g,h\rangle$ which is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL_{mk}(q)$. We obtain

$$\big||T:C_T(g)||T:C_T(h)|\big|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{\frac{3mk}{2}}c_{mk},$$

   and so

$$|T:C_T(g)|_{p',\heartsuit}|T:C_T(h)|_{p',\heartsuit} < 3q^{\frac{3mk}{2}}c_{mk}.$$

   Thus we assume, without loss of generality, that

$$|T:C_T(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < (\sqrt{3c_{mk}})q^{\frac{3mk}{4}}.$$

   Now P is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\underbrace{GL_m(q)\times\cdots\times GL_m(q)}_{t-k+1}$.

   Thus Lemmas 2.5 and 3.14 imply that

$$\begin{aligned}|H:C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} &< (\sqrt{3c_{mk}})q^{\frac{3mk}{4}}(2^{m+\frac{m}{2}-1})^{t-k+1}\\ &< (\sqrt{3c_{mk}})q^{\frac{3mk}{4}}q^{\frac{3}{5}m(t-k+1)}\\ &= (\sqrt{3c_{mk}})q^{\frac{3}{5}mt+\frac{3}{20}mk+\frac{3}{5}m}\\ &< (\sqrt{3})7^{\frac{1}{5}mk}q^{\frac{3}{5}mt+\frac{3}{20}mk+\frac{3}{5}m}\\ &\leq q^{\frac{19}{20}mt+\frac{3}{5}m+\frac{1}{3}}.\end{aligned}$$

   Since $m > t > 20$ this implies that $|H:C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} < q^{mt-1}$ as required.

6. **The second fusion situation.** Now let g, h be elements of $g^H \cap P$ as above; we may assume that $g_i = h_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$. Let H_0 be the subgroup of $H/(H \cap N)$ that fixes the first $k-1 \geq t-2$ entries in the natural action on t elements. Then $C_{H\cap N}(g).H_0$ centralizes g and so
$$|g^H| \leq |H/(H \cap N) : H_0||g^{H\cap N}| \leq t(t-1)|g^{H\cap N}|.$$
Thus
$$|H : C_H(g)|_{p',\heartsuit} \leq \frac{q^{n-1} + \cdots + q + 1}{(q-1)^{t-1}} t(t-1) < q^{n-1}.$$

□

This completes our proof of Lemma A, and thereby proves Theorem A.

4 The insoluble situation

In this section we operate under Hypothesis 1 and use the associated notation, as defined at the start of Section 2.2. Our aim is to prove Theorem B, hence we assume throughout this section that G **is insoluble**.

For a group $H \leq G$, define $\overline{H} = H/(H \cap O(G))$. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G; Theorem A implies that S is cyclic or generalized quaternion. If S is cyclic then [Asc00, 39.2)] implies that $\overline{G} \cong S$, i.e. G has a *normal* 2*-complement*. In particular G is soluble, hence we exclude this possibility.

If S is generalized quaternion then we can use the comments in [Gor68, p377] and the results in [WG64] to write the structure of $\overline{G}$.

Proposition 4.1. *If G has generalized quaternion Sylow 2-subgroups then $\overline{G}$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

1. *S, i.e. G has a normal 2-complement.*

2. *$2.A_7$, a double cover of A_7.*

3. *$SL_2(q).D$, where q is odd and D is cyclic.*

Note that in all cases $G = O(G)C_G(g)$. In the first case, once again, G is soluble, and so this case is excluded. Thus, for the rest of this section we assume that $\overline{G}$ is of one of the latter two types; if it is of the third type we also assume that $q > 3$ (else G is soluble). In particular this means that $F^*(\overline{G})$ is a quasi-simple group with centre of order 2. We start with a background result.

Lemma 4.2. *[Asc00, (5.21)] Let a group J be transitive on a set X, $x \in X$, H the stabilizer in J of x, and $K \leq H$. Then $N_J(K)$ is transitive on* $\mathrm{Fix}(K)$ *if and only if $K^J \cap H = K^H$.*

Lemma 4.3. *For g an involution in G, $C_G(g)$ acts transitively on the set of points of* $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$*, a Baer subplane of $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 4.2. Take G to be the group J, X to be the set of points of $\mathcal{P}$; thus $H = G_\alpha$, the stabilizer of a point α. Define K to be a subgroup of G_α of order 2, say $K = \{1, g\}$. Now g is the unique involution in a particular Sylow 2-subgroup of G_α and so $K^G \cap G_\alpha = K^{G_\alpha}$. Hence $N_G(K) = C_G(g)$ is transitive on $\mathrm{Fix}(K) = \mathrm{Fix}(g)$, a Baer subplane of $\mathcal{P}$. □

For a fixed involution $g \in G$, define the subgroup

$$T_g = \{h \in C_G(g) | \mathrm{Fix}(h) = \mathrm{Fix}(g)\}.$$

Thus T_g is the kernel of the action of $C_G(g)$ on $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$.

Lemma 4.4. *For g an involution in G, $\overline{T_g}$ contains a normal subgroup isomorphic to $F^*(\overline{G})$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$ is Desarguesian. There are two possibilities for $C_G(g)/T_g$: either $C_G(g)/T_g$ is soluble or $C_G(g)/T_g$ has socle $PSL_3(u)$ where $u > 2$ is the order of $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$. Now, since $u > 2$, $PSL_3(u)$ has Sylow 2-subgroups that are neither cyclic nor dihedral and so they cannot form a section of a quaternion group. Hence we conclude that $C_G(g)/T_g$ is soluble.

Now suppose that $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$ is not Desarguesian. Then $C_G(g)/T_g$ must have dihedral or cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups. The former is not possible by Theorem A and the latter implies that $C_G(g)/T_g$ is soluble. Hence this conclusion holds in all cases.

Since $G = O(G)C_G(g)$, we know that $\overline{C_G(g)}$ must contain a normal subgroup isomorphic to $F^*(\overline{G})$. Since $C_G(g)/T_g$ is soluble, we conclude that $\overline{T_g}$ must contain a normal subgroup isomorphic to $F^*(\overline{G})$. □

Now we will need a background result which is originally due to Zassenhaus [Zas36]; it is discussed fully in [Pas68].[2]

Theorem 4.5. *Let F be an insoluble Frobenius complement. Then F has a normal subgroup F_0 of index at most 2 such that $F_0 = SL_2(5) \times M$ with M a group of order prime to $2, 3$ and 5.*

---

[2] My thanks to Professor Marty Isaacs for help with this result.

Lemma 4.6. *Either $G = O(G) : SL_2(5)$, or $G = (O(G) : (SL_2(5)).2$, or else Hypothesis 2 holds.*

Proof. Let N_1 be a Sylow subgroup of $F(G)$ for some prime p_1 (just as in Section 2.2) and let g be an involution in G. Since N_1 acts semi-regularly on the points of $\mathcal{P}$ (see Lemma 2.6), we know that $T_g \cap N_1$ is trival; we also know that T_g acts (by conjugation) on N_1.

Suppose that T_g does not act semi-regularly on N_1. This implies that there exists $h \in T_g, h \neq 1$ such that $C_{N_1}(h)$ is non-trivial. Now $C_{N_1}(h)$ acts on the fixed set of h and, since $h \in T_g$, we know that the fixed set of h is a Baer subplane. We know, furthermore, that N_1 acts semi-regularly on the points of $\mathcal{P}$. This implies that t divides $u^2 + u + 1$. Thus, if T_g does not act semi-regularly on any of the Sylow subgroups of $F(G)$ then Hypothesis 2 follows.

Now suppose that Hypothesis 2 does not hold; then there exists a particular Sylow t-subgroup of $F(G)$, call it N_t, such that T_g acts semi-regularly on N_t. Then T_g forms a Frobenius complement in the Frobenius group $N_t : T_g$. We can now apply Theorem 4.5; thus T_g has a subgroup $T_{g,0}$ of index at most 2 such that $T_{g,0} = SL_2(5) \times M$. Note that T_g has a unique normal quasi-simple subgroup; this subgroup is isomorphic to $SL_2(5)$.

Now Lemma 4.4 implies that $F^*(\overline{G}) \cong SL_2(5)$; Proposition 4.1 implies that $\overline{G}$ is a cyclic extension of $SL_2(5)$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{SL}_2(5)) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(5).2$, this extension must have size at most 2; thus $\overline{G} \cong SL_2(5)$ or $SL_2(5).2$.

Finally, since T_g has a subgroup isomorphic to $SL_2(5)$ it is clear that G contains a split extension, $O(G) : SL_2(5)$, and the result follows. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem B:

Proof of Theorem B. Hypothesis 1, Proposition 2.12 and Lemma A together imply that Hypothesis 2 is false. Then Lemma 4.6 implies Theorem B. □

4.1 A stronger statement

As we mentioned in the introduction, we can immediately write down a statement that is a little stronger than Theorem B.

Corollary 4.7. *For G acting transitively on the set of points of a projective plane, we have the following possibilities:*

1. *G has a normal 2-complement (and so G is soluble).*
2. *$G/O(G)$ is isomorphic to $SL_2(3)$ or to a non-split degree 2 extension of $SL_2(3)$ (and so G is soluble).*

3. $G = O(G) : SL_2(5)$ *or* $G = (O(G) : (SL_2(5)).2$.

We conclude this paper with a brief discussion of the three possibilities in the corollary. Note first that, in all cases, G contains an odd-order subgroup which is transitive on the set of points of $\mathcal{P}$.

The first possibility corresponds to the situation when G has cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups, or to item 1 of Proposition 4.1. Item 2 of Proposition 4.1 has been entirely ruled out.

The second possibility corresponds to $q = 3$ in item 3 of Proposition 4.1. It is a simple matter to check that, when $q = 3$, $G/O(G)$ must be isomorphic to one of the groups listed.

The third possibility corresponds to $q = 5$ in item 3 of Proposition 4.1. Proposition 2.12 implies that Hypothesis 2 cannot hold; hence we conclude immediately that there exists a prime $t > 5$, $t|(u^2 - u + 1, |F(G)|)$ such that N_t, the Sylow t-subgroup of $F(G)$, admits a semi-regular action of $SL_2(5)$. In particular this means that N_t is abelian [Isa08, Theorem 6.3] and has t-rank at least 2. Furthermore Lemma 4.4 implies that G has a subgroup, congruent to $SL_2(5)$, that fixes a Baer subplane.

References

[Asc84] M. Aschbacher, *On the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups*, Invent. Math. **76** (1984), no. 3, 469–514.

[Asc00] Michael Aschbacher, *Finite group theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, no. 10, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[BGL77] N. Burgoyne, R. Griess, and R. Lyons, *Maximal subgroups and automorphisms of Chevalley groups*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), no. 2, 365–403.

[Dem97] P. Dembowski, *Finite geometries*, Springer-Verlag, 1997.

[Dic58] Leonard Eugene Dickson, *Linear groups: With an exposition of the Galois field theory*, with an introduction by W. Magnus, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1958.

[Gil06] Nick Gill, *Nilpotent singer groups*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **13** (2006), R94.

[Gil07] ______, *Transitive projective planes*, Adv. Geom. **7** (2007), 475–528.

[GLS94] Daniel Gorenstein, Richard Lyons, and Ronald Solomon, *The classification of the finite simple groups, number 3*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 40, American Mathematical Society, 1994.

[Gor68] Daniel Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, Harper & Row Publishers, New York, 1968.

[Isa08] I. Martin Isaacs, *Finite group theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 92, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[Kan87] W. Kantor, *Primitive permutation groups of odd degree, and an application to finite projective planes*, J. Algebra **106** (1987), 15–45.

[KL90] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, *The subgroup structure of the finite simple groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[OW59] T.G. Ostrom and A. Wagner, *On projective and affine planes with transitive collineation groups*, Math. Z. **71** (1959), 186–199.

[Pas68] Donald Passman, *Permutation groups*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968.

[PS80] Cheryl E. Praeger and Jan Saxl, *On the orders of primitive permutation groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), no. 4, 303–307.

[Wag59] A. Wagner, *On perspectivities of finite projective planes*, Math. Z. **71** (1959), 113–123.

[WG64] John H. Walter and D. Gorenstein, *The characterisation of finite groups with dihedral sylow* 2*-subgroups*, J. Algebra **2** (1964), 85–151, 218–270, 354–393.

[Zas36] H. Zassenhaus, *Über endliche fastkörper*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **11** (1936), 187–220.